A. 2 (A)

```
Page 1
           HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - S. BERKENFELD
             UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
 3
             SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
         ----x
 5
     In Re:
 6
                                Chapter 11
7
                         Case No. 08-13555(JMP)
     LEHMAN BROTHERS
8
     HOLDINGS, INC., et al., (Jointly Administered)
                    Debtors.
10
11
12
             * * *HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL* * *
13
            DEPOSITION OF STEVEN BERKENFELD
14
                   New York, New York
15
                     August 6, 2009
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
     Reported by:
24
     KATHY S. KLEPFER, RMR, RPR, CRR, CLR
25
     JOB NO. 24035
```

Page 2 1 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - S. BERKENFELD 2 August 6, 2009	Page 3 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - S. BERKENFELD		
2 August 6, 2009	1 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - S. BERKENFELD		
1			
1 2 0 00	2		
3 9:30 a.m.	3 APPEARANCES:		
4 HIGH WOONEIDENTHALL	4		
5 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL deposition	5 JONES DAY, LLP		
6 of STEVEN BERKENFELD, held at Jones 7 Day, LLP, 222 East 41st Street, LLP,	6 Attorneys for Lehman Brothers, Inc. 7 222 East 41st Street		
8 New York, New York, before Kathy S.	8 New York, New York 10017-6702		
9 Klepfer, a Registered Professional	9 BY: ROBERT W. GAFFEY, ESQ.		
	BRIDGET CRAWFORD, ESQ.		
	11		
- ·	12 BOIES, SCHILLER & FLEXNER, LLP		
	13 Attorneys for Barclays Capital		
i i	14 and the Witness		
I	15 575 Lexington Avenue - 7th Floor		
	New York, New York 10022		
I	17 BY: JACK G. STERN, ESQ.		
	18		
19	19		
20	20		
21	21		
22	22		
23	23		
I	24		
25	25		
TSG Reporting - Worldwide (877) 702-9580	TSG Reporting - Worldwide (877) 702-9580		
Page 4	Page 5		
1 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - S. BERKENFELD	1 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - S. BERKENFELD		
2 APPEARANCES: (Cont'd.)	2 (Witness sworn.)		
3 QUINN, EMANUEL, URQUHART, OLIVER & HEDGES, LLF	3 MR. STERN: I should just state on the		
4 Attorneys for the Creditors Committee	4 record before we start that, in terms of		
5 865 S. Figueroa Street, 10th Floor	5 confidentiality, we on behalf of Barclays		
6 Los Angeles, California 90017	6 will designate this transcript as highly		
7 BY: ERICA P. TAGGART, ESQ.	7 confidential for today, and then within		
8	8 seven days of receipt of the transcript we		
9 JENNER & BLOCK, LLC	9 will designate appropriate sections as		
	either highly confidential, confidential, or		
1	not confidential. MR. GAFFEY: I think, you know, we		
1	can that's the agreement from Felder.		
1	Let's do that for all of these.		
1	15 MR. STERN: Agreed.		
I	MR. GAFFEY: Everybody agree to that?		
1	17 STEVEN BERKENFELD, called as a		
	witness, having been duly sworn by a Notary		
	Public, was examined and testified as		
20 AMINA HASSAN, ESQ.	20 follows:		
21 JOHN F. WOOD, ESQ.	21 EXAMINATION BY		
22	22 MR. GAFFEY:		
23 Also Present:	Q. Good morning, Mr. Berkenfeld.		
24 THOMAS E. HOMMEL, Lehman Brothers	A. Good morning.		
25 PHILIP E. KRUSE, Alvarez & Marsal	Q. We met briefly before. My name is Bob		
TSG Reporting - Worldwide (877) 702-9580	TSG Reporting - Worldwide (877) 702-9580		

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

21

25

2

Page 6

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - S. BERKENFELD

2 Gaffey. I'm with Jones Day. We're special

3 counsel to the estate of Lehman Brothers 4

Holdings, Inc.

1

5

6

7

8

9

As you know, the topics that we're going to address today will revolve around the transaction that took place in September of 2008 in which Barclays purchased certain assets of Lehman Brothers Holdings, Inc. and LBI.

10 Let me get some background information 11 on you first, sir. You're qualified as a

lawyer; is that correct? 12

- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 O. | Columbia Law School?
- 15 A. Yes.
- Q. Is your license up to date? Do you 16
- 17 maintain an active registration?
- A. Yes, I believe my registration is up 18
- 19 to date.
- 20 Q. There was a time when you worked for
- 21 Lehman. Can you give me a brief resumé of your
- 22 Lehman career? When you started, when you left,
- 23 and what titles you held?
- 24 A. I started in January of 1987 and was
- 25 there through the transaction. I had many

TSG Reporting - Worldwide (877) 702-9580

Page 8

Page 7

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - S. BERKENFELD titles, but at the time of the transaction, I

was a managing director.

Q. And what was your area of responsibility when you were a managing director?

A. There were, over the years, there were a number of different areas of responsibility.

Q. Okay. Give me, if you would, the last category before you left Lehman. I'm obviously particularly interested in September of 2008, 12 but that segment.

13 A. I was chairman of the, what we

14 referred to as the Transaction Approval

Committees, I was Chief Investment Officer for 15

16 the Private Equity Division, and I was head of

17 the Legal, Compliance and Audit Division. 18 Q. And were you head of the Legal,

19 Compliance and Audit Division in September of 2008? 20

A. Yes.

22 Q. And just for completeness, before

23 Lehman, you were an associate at Fried Frank,

24 correct?

A. Yes.

TSG Reporting - Worldwide (877) 702-9580

Page 9

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - S. BERKENFELD

- 2 Q. And were essentially involved in 3 mergers and acquisitions?
 - A. Yes.

1

4

9

13

- 5 Q. Now, you said that you held these
- positions at Lehman through the transaction. 6
- 7 When exactly did you leave the employ of --8 actually, withdrawn.

Were you employed by Lehman Brothers 10 Holdings, Lehman Brothers, Inc., some

11 combination of both? What was the entity that 12 employed you?

- A. | I don't know.
- Q. Okay. And when exactly did you leave 14 15 the employ of Lehman?
- 16 A. That's actually a good question,
- because it's not really precise. I don't know 17
- that I could give you a specific date on that. 18
- 19 MR. STERN: You can describe why it's 20 not precise.
- 21 A. There was everything from -- there was
- sort of a transitional period where many people
- 23 were performing services for both Lehman and
- 24 starting to perform services for Barclays, too.
- 25 Q. Okay. That would be --

TSG Reporting - Worldwide (877) 702-9580

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - S. BERKENFELD

A. So it was kind of a transitional

3 period.

4 Q. As opposed, sir, to the -- I 5 understand that after the transaction closed,

there would be transitional work where you had

7 your old Lehman hat on in terms items of

8 knowledge or necessities.

9 My question, though, is who employed 10 you and when? Who was your employer on 11 **September 22, 2008?**

12 A. September 22, 2008. I don't recall.

13 I'd have to take a look at where the paychecks

14 came from.

15 Q. And the reason I picked September 22, 16 2008, that's the date the transaction closed,

17 correct?

18 A. Yes.

19 Q. Okay. And in the period prior to the 20 transaction closing on September 22, had you had

any discussions with Barclays about moving into

21

22 their employ?

23 A. No.

24 Q. When did you first begin to have

25 discussions with Barclays about moving into the

3

4

8

9

11

12

14

15

17

18

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

Page 10 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - S. BERKENFELD 1 2 employ of Barclays? 3 A. It was sometime after the closing.

4 Q. How far after the closing?

5 A. | A matter of days.

6

7

8

9

Q. Had there been any discussion at all of the possibility of you being employed at Barclays after the transaction? Had there been - withdrawn.

10 Prior to the closings, had there been 11 any discussion at all of you working for Barclays after the transaction? 12

13 A. Not that I recall.

14 Q. When you did have discussions about 15 joining Barclays? With whom did you have them?

16 A. Ian Lowitt.

17 Q. And when you had those discussions

with Mr. Lowitt, was he employed by Lehman or 18

was he employed by Barclays? 19

20 A. I don't think I can answer that.

21 O. Because?

22 I just don't know what his status was. Α.

23 Can you give me a sense of when that Q.

24 was?

25

1

2

3

7

8

9

20

A. My sense would be it would be the TSG Reporting - Worldwide (877) 702-9580 Page 11

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - S. BERKENFELD middle of the next week.

Q. We all talk like that in this case. By "the next week," you mean after the closing?

5 A. After the 22nd, so I would -- my best 6 recollection would be in the Tuesday, Wednesday 7 timeframe.

Q. Okay. Now, when you spoke to Mr. Lowitt about working for Barclays, just so I can sort of get in a capsule what the discussion was about, was that about terms of employment, compensation, title, that type of thing, or --

13

Q. So, moving backwards from that, before you have that discussion, there is a discussion with an employer about the possibility of working for them at all before you get to terms, correct?

19 A. Can you repeat the question?

O. Well, you're talking to Lowitt in the 20 21 week after the transaction about terms, what you'll be paid, what your duties and 22

23 responsibilities will be, what your title will

24 be. At some point prior to that, presumably you

25 had a discussion about going to Barclays at all

TSG Reporting - Worldwide (877) 702-9580

Page 12

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - S. BERKENFELD in any capacity?

MR. STERN: Objection to the form.

4 A. I don't recall any discussions before that and the discussions with Ian were not as 5 6

broad-based as you've described.

Q. Tell me about the discussions with Ian. Describe them to me, please.

A. They were really just more on terms.

10 Q. So if there's point in the week

following the transaction where you're talking 11 about terms with Ian Lowitt, was there a point 12 prior to that where you discussed going to work 14 for Barclays at all? People don't start talking 15 about their salary until they have decided to go 16 work someplace.

MR. STERN: Objection to the form. 17

A. I don't recall any conversations 18

19 before that.

Q. What's your recollection of the first conversation you had with anyone about working 21 22 for Barclays?

23 A. My recollection is Ian coming in to

24 see me about being offered employment by

25 Barclays post-closing.

TSG Reporting - Worldwide (877) 702-9580

Page 13

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - S. BERKENFELD

Q. Just so I have a clear record, Ian coming to see you post-closing?

MR. GAFFEY: Could you read the last answer back, please? (Record read.)

Q. When you say post-closing, are you modifying when Ian came to see you or when you would be employed?

10 A. Both.

11 Q. Both, okay.

REDUCTED

15 MR. STERN: This is all highly 16 confidential so you can feel free to answer.

Page 14 Page 15 1 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - S. BERKENFELD 1 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - S. BERKENFELD 2 2 MR. STERN: Objection to the form, but A. In what timeframe? 3 3 Q. In the two weeks before the closing. you can answer. 4 In the two weeks before the closing? 5 O. Yes. 6 A. No, not during the two weeks before 7 closing. 8 Q. Okay. What about one week before the closing? 9 10 Let me withdraw the question. To your knowledge, were any officers or directors of 11 14 1 A C (1) Lehman involved in discussions about going over 12 to Barclays at any time before the closing? 14 A. Officers or directors of Lehman 15 Brothers Holdings or Lehman Brothers, Inc.? Q. Either. 16 A. I believe there were discussions that 17 18 some senior officers had between the period of 19 signing and closing. It might have been between the period from the initiation of negotiations 21 Q. Now, in the, let's say the two weeks 21 that Monday morning after the our bankruptcy to 22 prior to the closing on September 22, to your 22 the closing. 23 23 knowledge were other officers or executives of Q. And again, you're using the same 24 vernacular I was, but the Monday, September 15, 24 Lehman Brothers in conversations with Barclays 25 correct? 25 about moving over there to work? TSG Reporting - Worldwide (877) 702-9580 TSG Reporting - Worldwide (877) 702-9580 Page 16 Page 17 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - S. BERKENFELD HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - S. BERKENFELD 1 2 A. Yes. 2 knowledge, were there discussions with Holdings Q. Who? 3 3 or LBI senior executives other than those eight A. To my knowledge, there was a very 4 people? 4 5 small group of senior executives who were 5 A. Not that I know of. 6 Q. There were none with you? approached around signing up to join Barclays 7 7 prior to the closing. A. None that I recall. 8 Q. Okay. Did you have any conversations 8 Q. Who were they? A. I don't think I recall all of them, 9 with Mr. Lowitt during that week between signing 9 but I believe that they included Eric Felder, 10 and closing? Skip McGee, I believe Jerry Donini, Hyung Lee, 11 A. Not that I recall. 11 Ian Lowitt. I'm not sure of the remainder. 12 Q. Was there a reason that you didn't 12 13 have those discussions? 13 Q. Approximately how many more were A. Was there a reason? Could you be more 14 there? 14 15 precise? 15 A. I believe there were eight. 16 Q. Now, when the agreement was made and 16 Q. Well, the company you've worked for 17 since 1987 has filed bankruptcy. It's about to 17 during that week, there was a group of eight 18 disappear. You're not going to have a job. 18 people who were deemed critical to the 19 Were you talking to anybody about what 19 transaction, correct? And Mr. Felder, Mr. 20 McGee, Mr. Donini and Mr. Lowitt were among 20 you were going to do next? 21 A. I was really focused on trying to get 21 those eight; is that right? 22 us from bankruptcy into some sort of 22 A. That's my understanding. My 23 23 recollection. transaction. 24 24 Q. That's why you focused on the eight. Q. Is that a yes or a no? Were you 25 25 thinking about where you were going to go next? What about beyond the eight? To your

TSG Reporting - Worldwide (877) 702-9580

Page 18 Page 19 1 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - S. BERKENFELD HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - S. BERKENFELD 2 A. I'm sure I was thinking about it, but transaction, if not face-to-face negotiations 3 I wasn't actively doing anything about it. 3 with Barclays? Q. Were you having conversations with 4 A. I don't know the role that others on 4 5 anyone about it? 5 Barclays were playing. 6 A. Nothing specific that I can recall. Q. Do you have any clue the role Mr. 7 7 Q. Did you make a decision not to have Lowitt may have played or not played? 8 that conversation with anyone until after the 8 A. I don't recall. 9 9 closing? O. Any recollection of it at all? 10 A. I wouldn't characterize it as a 10 A. No. 11 deliberate decision. I think I was just focused 11 Q. Any recollection at all of the role 12 on trying to get everything that was going on that Mr. Donini played or not? 12 13 and the chaos that was underway somewhat under 13 A. I don't know of any role that he 14 control. 14 played. 15 15 Q. The six of the eight that you Q. And no recollection at all of any role 16 mentioned, Felder, McGee, Donini, Lee and that Mr. Felder may have played? Lowitt, were any of them involved in the 17 A. I don't know of any role that Eric may negotiations with Barclays at the transaction? 18 have played. 19 A. I believe that was five. 19 Q. And you're currently employed by 20 Q. You're absolutely right. 20 Barclays, correct? 21 A. I believe Skip McGee was involved in 21 A. Correct. 22 22 the negotiation. I don't know if any of the Q. What entity of Barclays? 23 others were. A. Barclays Capital. 24 24 Q. And forgive me if I'm repeating Q. Were any of the others playing any 25 supporting role in connection with the 25 myself, but do you have a recollection of when TSG Reporting - Worldwide (877) 702-9580 TSG Reporting - Worldwide (877) 702-9580 Page 20 Page 21 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - S. BERKENFELD 1 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - S. BERKENFELD 2 you entered on duty, when you began your Q. Mr. Berkenfeld, I've placed before you 3 employment there? what the court reporter has marked as Exhibit 17. Do you recognize the document? 4 A. I signed an agreement to begin 4 5 employment somewhere around September 27 or 5 A. Yes. 6 6 September 28, I recall. Q. What is it? 7 Q. What's your title at Barclays Capital? 7 A. It's my employment agreement with 8 A. | Managing director. 8 Barclays Capital. 9 Q. And if you'd turn to the last page of 9 Q. And what's your area of responsibility? 10 the document bearing Bates number BCI-EX-77290? 10 11 A. I'm in the Investment Banking 11 A. Yes. 12 Division. 12 Q. Is that your signature at the bottom? 13 13 Q. What's your job there? What do you A. 14 Q. And the date you'll see is September 14 do? 15 15 A. I chair a few committees to approve 29, '08? equity transactions, fairness opinions, and I'm 16 A. Yes. 17 Q. That's the date on which you accepted involved in various different capacities in 18 Barclays offer of employment? investment banking transaction development. 18 19 Q. And to whom do you report? 19 A. Correct. 20 20 Q. If you would look at the first page of A. To Skip McGee and Steve Hash. 21 Q. Is Mr. Hash one of the eight? 21 the document, it's dated September 22, you see 22 22 A. I don't believe so. that? 23 (Exhibit 17, a document bearing Bates 23 A. Yes. 24 Nos. BCI-EX-00077288 through 77290, marked 24 Q. Is that the date on which Barclays

25 offered you employment?

TSG Reporting - Worldwide (877) 702-9580

25

for identification, as of this date.)

Page 22 Page 23 1 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - S. BERKENFELD HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - S. BERKENFELD 2 A. I don't recall. I don't believe this 3 was given to me on the 22nd. A. I don't know that I can answer that 4 Q. Is there a reason it's dated the 22nd question why Barclays was doing it. Could you 5 that you know? be more precise on the question? 6 A. No. 8 A. Yes. 9 Q. Why did they give you that? 10 A. I don't know why, what motivated them 11 to do it. 12 Q. To your knowledge, was that in some 13 part required by the Asset Purchase Agreement that you signed on September 16? 15 A. To my knowledge, it was not required 16 by the Asset Purchase Agreement for them to pay 17 me this amount. Radio Chail TSG Reporting - Worldwide (877) 702-9580 TSG Reporting - Worldwide (877) 702-9580 Page 25 Page 24 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - S. BERKENFELD HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - S. BERKENFELD A. About 150. REDUCTED 10 A. That was my understanding. 11 Q. And was it your understanding that 12 that payment of bonuses that they didn't get 13 from Lehman was in any way connected to the 14 Asset Purchase Agreement? 15 A. In any way connected? 16 MR. STERN: I'll object to the form, A. Can you repeat the question? 17 but you can answer, if you understand the 17 18 (Record read.) 18 question. 19 A. I believe there were a group of 19 A. I am aware that the Asset Purchase employees that were identified by others from 20 Agreement had a provision in it that created an 21 obligation on the part of Barclays to pay a Lehman who received offers of employment and 22 certain amount of compensation to employees. written employment agreements. 23 23 That compensation took the form, many different Q. How large was that group? 24 24 forms, including severance payments and bonus That's speculation on my part. A. 25 Q. What's your best estimate? payments. TSG Reporting - Worldwide (877) 702-9580 TSG Reporting - Worldwide (877) 702-9580

3

4

8

9

18

19

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

Page 26

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - S. BERKENFELD

10 O. I hear that.

1

11

What was the total amount that

- 12 Barclays was obliged to pay under that
- 13 provision, do you recall?
- 14 A. That's a more complicated question,
- but the provision made reference to an amount of 15
- 16 estimated amount of 2 billion.
- 17 Q. And your understanding, sir, is that
- 18 estimated amount of 2 billion was for bonuses
- and severance and other types of compensation; I
- 20 think that's what you said?
- 21 A. Correct.
- 22 O. Not just limited to bonuses?
- 23 A. Correct.
- 24 Q. We'll come to that agreement.
- 25 Obviously, I'm going to spend some time with it

TSG Reporting - Worldwide (877) 702-9580

Page 28

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - S. BERKENFELD

2 O. Thank you. 3

Anyone else?

- 4 A. I believe Mark Shapiro was involved.
- 5 Jim Seery was involved. Alex Kirk I believe was 6
- involved. 7

1

- Q. Kirk?
- 8 A. Kirk. K-I-R-K.

9 There may be a few additional bankers

- 10 that were involved but in more secondary roles.
- O. Who were they? 11
- A. To my recollection, Jeff Weiss may 12
- 13 have been involved. Brad Whitman may have been
- involved. That's recollection, and that may not
- 15 be ---
- 16 Q. There could be others, you just don't 17 remember?
- 18 A. And their role may have been minor.
- 19 Q. Now, in order to do the --
- 20 A. Excuse me. And -- just to finish --
- 21 and there may have been others that I don't
- 22 recall.
- 23 Q. Yeah, that's what I said, there may
- 24 have been more. Just so our record is clear,
- that may not be a complete list. That's the

TSG Reporting - Worldwide (877) 702-9580

Page 27

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - S. BERKENFELD

today, but do you have a general recollection --

let me ask you, were you involved in the

negotiations of Asset Purchase Agreement?

- 5 A. I was involved in the preparation and
- 6 drafting of the Asset Purchase Agreement. 7
 - Q. Okay. Those are different verbs than I asked. Let me - I want to get the best idea I can of --
- 10 A. The distinction I'm drawing is that
- 11 the negotiation of the Asset Purchase Agreement
- 12 covers, in my mind, negotiation of the business
- deal. I was not involved in the negotiation of 13
- 14 the business deal. I was involved in the
- 15 lawyering of the Asset Purchase Agreement.
- 16 That's another verb, but I think that
- 17 best describes it.
 - Q. Thank you. Who was involved in negotiating the business deal on Lehman's
- 20 behalf?
- 21 A. To my recollection, Bart McDade, Skip
- 22 McGee, and Mark Shafir.
- 23 Q. Would you spell "Shafir" for the
- 24 reporter, please? Is it S-H-A-F-I-R.
- 25 A. S-H-A-F-I-R.

TSG Reporting - Worldwide (877) 702-9580

Page 29

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - S. BERKENFELI

2 best you can recall as you sit here?

A. That's correct. It may not be a

complete list. There may have been others.

Q. When you say Dave McGee and Shapiro, who you identified as -- never mind. The record is clear.

Now, can you give me a little more detail on what your role was when you say you were involved in the drafting and the, to use your verb, lawyering of the APA?

12 A. After the bankruptcy filing, as you

can imagine, there were hundreds, thousands of 13 issues that no one had ever considered or had

prepared for. Over the course of the next few 15

16 days, after the bankruptcy filing, I was trying

17 to deal with as many of those issues as

18 possible.

19 While I was spending much of my time 20 doing that, negotiations had commenced with

Barclays on a proposed asset purchase. Over the

22 course of Monday and Tuesday, I became more

23 involved in those negotiations or lawyering, not

24 in the negotiations of the business deal but in

25 the preparation of the documentation, and at

Page 30

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - S. BERKENFELD

- 2 some point on Tuesday was able to join the
- 3 drafting session that was going on with the
- 4 attorneys for both Lehman and Barclays and try
- 5 to put together an Asset Purchase Agreement.
 - Q. When was the business deal negotiated?
- 7 A. I believe it was negotiated over the
- 8 course of Monday and Tuesday.
- 9 Q. Did the negotiations of the business deal take place through the night on Monday and 10
- 11 into Tuesday morning?
- 12 A. I don't recall exactly how late they
- 13 went and when they might have been recessed or 14 interrupted.
- 15

1

6

- Q. Do you know a man named Martin Kelly?
- 16
- 17 Q. Who is Martin Kelly?
- 18 A. Martin Kelly I believe was the
- controller for Lehman Brothers at the time of 19
- 20 the bankruptcy.
- 21 Q. Did he play any role in the negotiation of the business deal? 22
- 23 A. Not that I know of.
- 24 Did he work for anybody who did play a Q.
- 25 role?

1

TSG Reporting - Worldwide (877) 702-9580

Page 31

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - S. BERKENFELD

- 2 A. Martin work for Ian Lowitt, and I 3 don't know what Ian Lowitt's role was in the 4 negotiation of the business deal.
- 5 Q. In order to do the work that you 6 described in connection with putting the paper 7 together for the deal, you needed to understand 8 the business terms, correct?
- 9 A. The lead on putting the papers 10
- together for the business deal was taken by Weil Gotshal. They were the ones that were in the
- 12
- lead role and needed to document what was being 13 told to them by the business people.
- 14 Q. By the time you joined, on Tuesday, 15 joined the drafting folks, did you have an understanding of the business terms?
- 17 A. I was playing catch-up in trying to 18 understand what the business terms were.
- 19 Q. Did there come a point on that day 20 when you did understand what the business terms 21 were?
- 22 A. I believe so.
- 23 Q. When you say you believe so, do you 24 have some doubt that you understood them at the time, or you have a lack of recollection?

TSG Reporting - Worldwide (877) 702-9580

Page 32

2

5

7

Page 33

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - S. BERKENFELD

- 2 A. I'd say I think I understood the
- 3 business terms at the time.
- Q. What did you understand them to be? 4
- 5 A. I understood that Barclays was paying
- a certain amount for the real estate of Lehman;
- 7 they were paying a certain amount for the going
- 8 concern value of Lehman Brothers, the franchise
- 9 value; they were taking on a certain amount of
- assets and assuming certain liabilities, and
- 11 liabilities that were being assumed included
- compensation obligations and obligations for 12
- 13 cure payments.
- 14 Q. Again, I'll have documents for you in 15 a while, sir, but do you recall what they were 16 to pay for the real estate?
- 17 A. I recall that there was an estimated 18 amount, but it was subject to adjustment for
- 19 appraisal.
- 20 Q. Apart from the real estate, do you
- 21 recall the certain amount that was to be paid
- 22 for what you called going certain value of the
- 23 franchise?
- 24 A. I believe it was, the best of my
- 25 recollection, it was approximately 250 million.

TSG Reporting - Worldwide (877) 702-9580

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - S. BERKENFELD

- Q. Okay. And were the assets that
- Barclays was taking on identified in any way 4
 - with some degree of specificity?
 - A. At what time?
- 6 Q. I'm still at the point where you've
 - come into the room and you have an understanding
- 8 of the terms of the deal.
- 9 A. I think that the -- it was very much a dynamic process and there were attempts being
- 11 made to estimate a pool of assets, but that was
- 12 still a very fluid process.
- 13 Q. When you were able to join the folks
- that were drafting on Tuesday, did you have an
- 15 understanding of what the range was at that
- 16 point of the value of that pool of assets?
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. What was your understanding?
- A. My understanding was that the pool of 19
- 20 assets that were being acquired was
- 21 approximately 72 billion.
- 22 Q. And who had put together that -- who
- 23 had determined that number? Who was involved in
- 24 that?
- 25 A. I don't know.

5

7

8

11

14

15

Page 34

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - S. BERKENFELD

2 Q. Do you have any recollection of who

- 3 was involved in valuing the assets that were to
- be transferred? Was there a team put together?
- 5 Was there somebody in charge of it?
- 6 A. I don't know who arrived at that
- 7 number.

1

- Q. Okay. 8
- 9 A. That it should be 72 instead of 62 or
- 10 50 or 12. I don't know. I do know that a
- schedule that was being prepared was being
- presented to me by I believe Martin Kelly/Paolo
- Tonucci. It may have been one or other or a
- 14 combination. I don't recall exactly.
- 15 Q. So I get a sense of the corporate
- 16 hierarchy, who works for who amongst Martin
- 17 Kelly and Paolo Tonucci, who's more senior?
- 18 A. I think at the time at Lehman Brothers
- 19 they were about the same.
- 20 Q. About the same?
- 21 A. About the same.
- 22 Q. And do you have a -- I know you
- 23 wouldn't know what they were doing minute to
- minute, there was a lot going on that day, but
- 25 do you have a general sense of what Martin

TSG Reporting - Worldwide (877) 702-9580

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - S. BERKENFELD

Page 35

- Kelly/Paulo Tonucci did to come up with that
- 3 schedule?
- 4 A. I don't.
 - Q. Do you have any idea at all?
- 6 A. No, I don't.
 - Q. Did they never talk to you about the process that they used to come up with that
- 9 schedule?
- 10 A. About the process, no, they did not.
 - Did they talk to you about what steps,
- 12 if any, were taken to go through different asset
- 13 classes and determine their value?
 - A. They did not.
 - Q. Do you know if the schedule came from
- Lehman's marks at the time of those asset
- 17 classes?
- 18 A. I don't know where the schedule came
- 19 from.
- 20 Q. You're being sort of emphatic about
- 21 the "I don't know." Let me see if I can push
- 22 that.

2

3

13

- 23 Did anybody in the drafting room need
- 24 to know that fact?

25 MR. STERN: Objection to the form. I

TSG Reporting - Worldwide (877) 702-9580

Page 36

Page 37

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - S. BERKENFELD

- 2 don't know what that means.
- 3 Q. I'm looking for -- let me withdraw.
- 4 Let me explain what I'm looking for, and he's
- 5 going to object to the form, but that's fine. I
- 6 might be able to move through this a little more
- 7 quickly.

1

8

15

16

19

What I'm trying to do, Mr. Berkenfeld, is to get a sense of who's doing what on

- 9
- Tuesday. Who's figuring out whats assets are 11 going, who is figuring out what document you
- need to do it, you know? So who's -- and you've
- 13 told me Kelly and Tonucci put together this
- 14 schedule.

Tell me what you know about what they did to get that schedule put together.

- 17 A. Tuesday was a very, as was Monday,
- 18 crazy and hectic day.
 - Q. I'll ask you later which was worse.
- 20 A. And people were involved in different
- 21 tasks --
- 22 Q. Uh-huh.
- 23 A. -- in somewhat of a vertical approach.
- 24 You might have been involved in many different
- 25 verticals, but this was "all hands on deck."

TSG Reporting - Worldwide (877) 702-9580

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - S. BERKENFELD

- Q. Right.
- A. Paulo Tonucci, who was the treasurer
- of Lehman, and Martin Kelly, was the controller,
- 5 were two of the most senior people on the
- finance staff. So the people in the room,
- 7 myself, the outside counsel, Weil Gotshal,
- 8 Simpson Thacher on behalf of Lehman Brothers,
- 9 were relying on them to put together the list of
- assets that were the assets that were estimated
- 11 at the time would be transferred over to
- 12 Barclays.

Q. Give me a sense of the physical

14 setting. Was there a war room set up somewhere?

- 15 A. We were all camped out on the 32nd
- floor of Lehman Brothers' offices. The 32nd 16
- 17 floor is the executive dining rooms, so there's
- a series of rooms that can be used as conference 18
- 19 rooms there. 20
 - Q. Right.
- 21 A. Tables and chairs. Activity was going
- on throughout the floor. There was a lot of 22
- 23 discussions going on in the main reception area.
- There were discussions going on in the hallways. 24
- The drafting session occurred in a corner

5

6

7

9

Page 38 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - S. BERKENFELD 1

- 2 conference room which had a relatively large
- 3 table, a lot of chairs around it, and kind of a
- 4 sitting area right outside it, and so the
- activity was occurring in -- the drafting 5
- 6 activity was occurring in that seating area in
- 7 that conference room, which smaller than this,
- tighter than this. Table little smaller. Walls
- 9 a little smaller.

1

- 10 Q. Where is the, for lack of a better 11 term, add-up-the-assets room? Where are Kelly 12 and Tonucci? Are they on the 32nd floor?
- 13 A. Martin and Paolo would bring things to
- 14 the 32nd floor --
- 15 Q. Okay.
- 16 A. -- would come to the 32nd floor, but
- 17 my belief was they were working in their
- 18
- 19 Q. And do you remember who, if anyone
- 20 else, was working with Martin Kelly and Paolo
- 21 Tonucci on estimating the assets that were to be
- 22 transferred?
- 23 A. I don't know who else they had on
- 24 their teams.

1

25 Q. That's a sort of vertical entry.

TSG Reporting - Worldwide (877) 702-9580

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - S. BERKENFELD

- 2 Anybody else at their level involved in that?
- 3 Any other senior executives involved other than
 - Martin Kelly and Paolo Tonucci?
 - MR. STERN: Objection to the form.
 - A. Involved in what, precisely?
 - Q. In estimating the assets that were going to be transferred?
 - A. In estimating the assets?
- 10 Q. That's our verb, not mine, sir, so
- 11 that's why I'm using it.
- A. When you say "estimating assets," are 12
- 13 you asking me estimating the value of the
- 14 assets?
- 15 Q. Yes.
- 16 A. Not that I know of.
- 17 Q. And I asked you a few moments ago who
- was involved in negotiating the business terms. 18
- 19 Who was involved on the drafting side? There
- 20 was you and who else?
- 21 A. The drafting was led principally by
- 22 the outside counsel.
- 23 Q. What names do you remember?
- 24 A. Mr. Lubowitz of Weil Gotshal. I
- 25 believe -- I don't remember the rest of the Weil

TSG Reporting - Worldwide (877) 702-9580

Page 40

1

2

4

7

Page 41

Page 39

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - S. BERKENFELD

- 2 Gotshal team that was there. John Findley and
- 3 Andy Keller from Simpson Thacher. There was
- 4 counsel representing Barclays.
- 5 Q. Who do you recall representing
- Barclays? It's Cleary Gottlieb, right? 6
- 7 A. Cleary Gottlieb.
- 8 Q. Who from Cleary?
- 9 A. Victor Lewkow, I believe. And Jay
- 10 Layton from Sullivan Cromwell.
- 11 Q. Remember anyone else on that side of 12 the table?
- 13 A. I wouldn't know their names.
- 14 O. And the usual assortment of suits and
- yellow pads, right? But those are the two you
- recall being involved in the discussions, the
- 17 drafting?
- 18 A. Victor was the lead on that.
- 19 Q. And who, you know, delivered to this
- 20 group the business terms that needed to be
- 21 written up?
- 22 A. I don't know.
- 23 Q. Who delivered to the Lehman side of
- 24 the table the business terms that needed to be
- written up, do you know?

TSG Reporting - Worldwide (877) 702-9580

- HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL S. BERKENFELD
- A. I don't know.
- 3 Q. To whom were those business terms
 - delivered, do you know?
- 5 A. Speculating, I believe they were
- 6 delivered to Mike Lubowitz.
 - Q. There comes a point on that Tuesday
- 8 morning where you, you learn the business terms,
- 9 correct?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. Okay. Who told them to you?
- 12 A. I don't recall if anyone specifically
- 13 went through them with me or if I learned them
- through the process of joining the discussions
- 15 and reviewing the agreement.
- 16 Q. Okay. On a more general level, and
- 17 I'm still on the Tuesday morning, do you have a
- 18 recollection of talking to any Lehman people
- 19 about the business terms?
- 20 A. I don't have a recollection.
- 21 Q. I'm sort of separating that from what
- they said to you, but talking to McGee, for
- 23 example, finding out this is the deal?
- 24 A. I just --
- 25 MR. STERN: Objection to the form. I

3

4

5

6

9

10

16

17

25

4

Page 42

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - S. BERKENFELD don't know if there's a question.

- Q. Do you have a recollection of talking to anyone about the business terms, McGee, for example?
- 6 A. With everything going on, I just don't 7 recall.
- 8 Q. Do you have any knowledge as to whether it was one of the three that you 10 mentioned to me, McDade, McGee or Shafir?
- 11 A. I don't recall.
- 12 Q. Do you have a general recollection of 13 talking to Shapiro, Seery, Kirk about the
 - business terms? Again, I'm on the Tuesday.
- 15 A. I don't specifically recall --
- 16 Q. Right.

1

2

3

4

5

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

- 17 A. -- a conversation with anyone on the
- business side. I can't say that it didn't 18
- 19 happen. I just don't recall.
- 20 Q. I'm getting a sense that this room is 21 not exactly calm and cool. There's a lot of
- activity going. There's lawyers in the corner
- drafting, there's people running schedules
- upstairs, there's people in the reception area,
- 25 so I understand the questions are a little

TSG Reporting - Worldwide (877) 702-9580

Page 44

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - S. BERKENFELD

- 2 9/16/2008, 11:18 A.M., marked for
- 3 identification, as of this date.)
 - Q. Mr. Berkenfeld, I've put before you a document that was marked at a prior deposition, which is marked as Exhibit 1, and for the record, I've also had put before you these two newly marked exhibits.

What has been marked as Exhibit 18 is a one-page document marked "Confidential"

bearing Bates number BCI-CG00033789, which has a 12 date and time stamp in the lower right-hand

- 13 corner reading 9/16/2008, 10:09 A.M., and we've
- 14 marked as Exhibit 19 a document with no Bates
- number, one-page document, showing columns of
- 16 assets and liabilities, and in the lower
- 17 right-hand corner says 9/16/2008, 11:18 A.M.
- 18 Now, if you would turn, sir, to the
- 19 document previously marked as Exhibit 1, the
- 20 Asset Purchase Agreement. You've seen that
- 21 before, I take it?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 Q. And just so our record is a good one,
- 24 could you turn to the last page of that
- document, please? And does your signature

TSG Reporting - Worldwide (877) 702-9580

Page 43

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - S. BERKENFELD

2 precise for that atmosphere.

What I'm trying to press for here is even your general recollection of a point where somebody -- where you came to understand this is the deal we're making.

- 7 A. And my response is that I don't recall 8 a moment of realization.
 - Q. Okay. No lightbulb?
 - A. Not to be -- it's just there was so
- 11 much going, and at some point on Tuesday, which
- could have been at 2 in the afternoon or could
- have been at 6 in the evening, could have been 13
- 10 o'clock at night, I was involved enough to
- 15 understand what the transaction was.
 - Q. Okay.
 - A. And I just don't recall when that was.
- 18 (Exhibit 18, a document bearing Bates
- 19 Nos. BCI-CG00033789, which has a date and
- 20 time stamp in the lower right-hand corner
- 21 9/16/2008, 10:09 A.M., marked for
- 22 identification, as of this date.)
- 23 (Exhibit 19, one-page document,
- 24 showing columns of assets and liabilities,
 - and in the lower right-hand corner says
 - TSG Reporting Worldwide (877) 702-9580

Page 45

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - S. BERKENFELD

- appear under the block "Lehman Brothers
- 3 Holdings, Inc."?
 - A. Yes.
- 5 O. And does your signature also appear
- under the block "Lehman Brothers, Inc."? 6 7
- 8 Q. Does that help your recollection of 9 which entity employed you?
- 10 A. No.
- Q. Okay. You were, though, a Vice 11
- President of Lehman Brothers Holdings, Inc., I
- 13 take it?

14

- A. Yes.
- Q. And you were a Managing Director of 15
- 16 Lehman Brothers, Inc., correct?
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. And when did you put your signature to
- that document? Actually, you know what? 19
- 20 Withdrawn.
- 21 There was a version of this document
- 22 with a lot of handwritten interlineations. This
- 23 is not the one. This is taken from a filing.
- 24 So let me just ask you generally without
- 25 reference to that document. When did you sign

Page 46 Page 47 1 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - S. BERKENFELD HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - S. BERKENFELD 2 the Asset Purchase Agreement? document. 3 A. I don't remember precisely when. 3 A. We discussed previously schedules that Q. Was it on the Tuesday? were being prepared by Martin/Paolo --4 4 5 5 Q. Uh-huh. A. I believe it was late -- I know it was late in night, early in the morning. 6 A. -- that were being provided. There 6 7 7 Q. Uh-huh. Okay. On or around the were a number of drafts of these schedules being 8 Tuesday? 8 produced. So at some point, to document a final 9 A. Yes. 9 draft, I initialed a schedule. 10 Q. To separate it from working drafts? 10 Q. And take a look, please, sir, at what we've marked as Exhibit 18. Tell me if you 11 A. Exactly. 11 12 recognize that document. 12 Q. Now, you'll see, sir, that -- take a A. Yes. 13 13 look at 19. 14 14 O. What is that document? A. Yes. 15 A. That is a schedule of assets and 15 Q. And again, at the top says "SB." Those are your initials as well, correct? 16 liabilities. 16 17 17 Q. And there's an annotation in the upper 18 right-hand corner of that document which appears 18 Q. Okay. And this also says 9/16/08? to read "9/16/08" and, to me, "SB," do you see 19 Yes. A. 20 20 Q. And -that? 21 A. Final. 21 A. Yes. 22 22 Q. Are those your initials? Q. And final. 23 23 Is that your handwriting at the top A. Yes. Q. Tell me what you remember the 24 24 where it says "final" as well? 25 25 circumstances putting your initials on that A. Yes. TSG Reporting - Worldwide (877) 702-9580 TSG Reporting - Worldwide (877) 702-9580 Page 49 Page 48 1 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - S. BERKENFELD 1 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - S. BERKENFELD 2 2 O. Okay. And what did they say when they Q. Okay. What's the story with these two 3 handed it to the room? 3 documents? Why does one have your initials and 4 the other say same initials, same date, and this 4 A. I don't recall. 5 one -- and 19 says final? 5 Q. How did they describe this document 6 A. The second exhibit, Exhibit 19 -when they handed it to the room? 7 7 A. I don't recall. Q. Uh-huh. 8 Q. What did you understand the document 8 A. -- was produced later and superseded the earlier exhibit. So it again was signed to 9 to be when they handed it to the room? 10 A. The final estimated schedule. acknowledge that it was final and actually 10 annotated as final when we had the sign-off from 11 Q. Okay. And the final estimated 11 schedule of the assets that were to be 12 Finance that this was the final schedule. 12 O. "This" being 19? 13 transferred and the liabilities that were to be 13 14 undertaken in the transaction, correct? 14 A. "This" being 19. A. No, I wouldn't characterize it that Q. And when you say you got the sign-off 15 15 from Finance, who in Finance? 16 way. I would characterize it as the final 16 17 schedule of the estimate of assets and 17 A. Martin/Paolo. Q. And tell me everything you remember 18 liabilities that would be transferred over. I'm 18 19 not sure I was clear on that, but the schedule about getting the sign-off from Martin/Paolo 19 that these were the final numbers? 20 was not part of the agreement. 20 21 A. I don't remember much more them saying 21 Q. Uh-huh. There is one point in the 22 this is the final schedule. 22 agreement where it's referred to, correct? In 23 the employment section in the bonus and 23 Q. Do you remember who they handed it to? 24 compensation provision we were talking about 24 To you, I take it? 25 before? 25 A. They handed it to the room.

TSG Reporting - Worldwide (877) 702-9580

Page 50 Page 51 1 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - S. BERKENFELD HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - S. BERKENFELD 2 A. If you would like, we can take a look 2 (Time Noted: 10:36 A.M.) 3 3 at that provision. BY MR. GAFFEY: 4 Q. I'll get to it later. But I don't 4 Q. Mr. Berkenfeld, before I go back to 5 5 want you to make a misstatement about the this topic of the assets and the schedules, I 6 section I know is in there. wanted to go back to the negotiation process 7 It's not attached to the agreement as 7 again because there's a time period I neglected 8 a schedule, right? 8 to cover. 9 9 A. That's what I said. Prior to the bankruptcy filing, to 10 O. Is there a reason --10 your knowledge had there been discussions 11 A. I believe that's what I said. 11 between Lehman and Barclays concerning a Q. Is there a reason it was not attached? 12 12 potential transaction? 13 A. It wasn't attached because it wasn't 13 A. Yes. meant to be a part of the agreement. 14 Q. When did those take place? 1415 Thursday, Friday into Saturday, 15 Q. The estimate of the asset values in A. 16 here was the estimate upon which the transaction 16 Sunday. 17 was based, correct? 17 Q. And that's the Thursday, Friday, 18 18 Saturday, Sunday, before the 15th? A. The estimate of the assets was an A. Yes. 19 19 estimate of assets that would be transferred 20 over. At that point in time, the belief of the 20 O. Were you involved in those 21 assets that would be transferred over to 21 discussions? 22 Barclays kind of as guidance for what was meant 22 A. Some of them, yes. Q. What was the nature of your 23 in the Asset Purchase Agreement when there was a 23 24 involvement, generally? 24 reference to purchased assets. 25 (Recess; Time Noted: 10:20 A.M.) 25 A. As a senior lawyer for Lehman. TSG Reporting - Worldwide (877) 702-9580 TSG Reporting - Worldwide (877) 702-9580 Page 53 Page 52 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - S. BERKENFELD HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - S. BERKENFELD 1 2 Q. Were you involved in discussions, 2 believe a whole different -- different form of 3 negotiations of business terms in those -- that agreement with different counsel taking the 4 prior set of negotiations? 4 lead. 5 Q. Was there any need to estimate or 5 A. No, I was not. 6 6 Q. And those negotiations, as I calculate the value of the particular asset 7 understand it, did not come to fruition? No 7 classes in connection with the prior 8 negotiations? 8 deal resulted from those, that set of prior 9 9 A. In the prior negotiations, there was negotiations, correct? no need to calculate the value of assets or 10 10 A. That's correct. liabilities. It would have just been relevant 11 11 Q. In the drafting in connection with the transaction that's brought us here today, in 12 in terms of the purchaser's due diligence. 13 Q. Was any schedule like Exhibits 18 or connection with the drafting of the September 14 19 put together in connection with the prior 14 16th, 2008 Asset Purchase Agreement, were any 15 transaction? documents that had been generated in connection

16 with the prior transactions used, any draft 17 agreements, any schedules, that kind of thing? 18 A. I don't believe so. The original 19 transaction was set up more as a public company 20 merger and a document was being prepared through Simpson Thacher. Once Lehman filed for 21 22 bankruptcy --23 Q. I got it. A. -- the lead role for the transaction 24 25 was taken over by Weil Gotshal for Lehman, and I TSG Reporting - Worldwide (877) 702-9580

16 A. Not that I'm aware of. 17 Q. So, as far as you can say, as far as you know, the schedules that we have marked as 18 and 19 were put together in connection with 19 20 the transaction that actually occurred, the one 21 that was signed during the week of the 15th? 22 A. That's correct. 23 Q. And just another follow-up on something we talked about before the break, and 25 that's the issue of people moving from Lehman to TSG Reporting - Worldwide (877) 702-9580

Page 54 Page 55 1 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - S. BERKENFELD HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - S. BERKENFELD 1 Barclays, were there lists put together of 2 A. -- from me and other managers. 3 3 people who would be offered employment or Q. And to your knowledge, were those recommended to be offering employment at 4 lists sent, the lists that were being assembled, 5 Barclays? 5 then sent over to Barclays? 6 A. I believe there were. 6 A. To my knowledge, they were. 7 7 Q. Were you involved in putting those Q. Did people who were on those lists get 8 lists together? 8 told they were on those lists? 9 9 A. I was only involved as it related to A. Eventually, yes. Q. You put together lists for people for people I was responsible for managing. 10 11 Q. And did you put together lists like 11 whom you were responsible, for departments for which you were responsible. Who put together 12 that? 12 13 13 similar lists for other groups or departments? A. Yes. 14 Q. And during what period of time did you 14 A. I don't know. 15 put those lists together? 15 Q. Did you have any knowledge at all? 16 A. I would speculate that it was the 16 A. I don't remember. 17 Q. Do you recall sending over a list to 17 people who were responsible for those 18 Barclays on or about September 19? 18 departments. 19 A. I don't remember the date, and it 19 Q. Was that process being done prior to 20 would not have been to Barclays. I was working 20 the closing? 21 with our HR Department. 21 A. I don't recall exactly when it was 22 Q. Okay. 22 done. 23 23 A. I believe the HR Department was the O. And again, a little follow-up from 24 one who was assembling the list with input --24 this morning. Your employment agreement, which Q. Okay. 25 we marked as Exhibit 17, were the numbers in TSG Reporting - Worldwide (877) 702-9580 TSG Reporting - Worldwide (877) 702-9580 Page 56 Page 57 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - S. BERKENFELI HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - S. BERKENFELD 1 2 there negotiated? 3 A. Between who? Between you and Barclays. 4 0. 5 No. A. 6 Q. Was there any negotiation at all 7 between you and Barclays of the terms of the 8 agreement? 9 A. No.

Nabi Citab

Q. Did you just take the numbers that

Q. And prior to seeing the numbers in the 16 offer letter, had you had any discussions with

anybody about what those numbers would be?

A. The discussion I had was with Ian 19 Lowitt, who told me what the terms of the offer

they put in an offer letter to you?

14 letter, that's correct.

A. I had a decision to make on the 13 numbers that were presented to me in the offer

10

11

12

15

17

18

20 would be.

TSG Reporting - Worldwide (877) 702-9580

Page 58

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - S. BERKENFELD 1

Page 59

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - S. BERKENFELD

- 9 Q. Now, you started -- we talked about 10 the exact date, and I don't care -- you started
- sometime in September of 2008, correct?
- 12 A. The agreement actually says the
- 13 employment commences on or before October 31.
- 14 Q. Okay. A date -- so we haven't hit
- 15 your first anniversary yet?
- 16 A. That's correct.

1

TSG Reporting - Worldwide (877) 702-9580

2	Λ	Takaa	laak	nlagea	at Exhibit 19.	
4	\ / / .	IANTA	IWW.	DICASC.	at Lammit is	۰

13 That's the one marked "final."

14 A. Yes.

15 Q. All right. Is that an accurate

16 description? Were there any drafts of this

17 after the one that you initialed and marked as

18 final?

19 A. Not that I'm aware of.

20 Q. And down in the lower right-hand

21 corner in the Liabilities column there's an item

22 called Comp 2.0, do you see that?

23 A. Yes.

Q. I take it the numbers on this are in

25 billions?

TSG Reporting - Worldwide (877) 702-9580

Page 60

Page 61

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - S. BERKENFELD

- 2 A. That's correct.
- 3 Q. Okay. So is that a \$2 billion accrual 4 for compensation?
- 5 MR. STERN: Objection to the form.
- 6 A. It's a number that's listed as 2
- 7 billion for comp. I don't know that it's an
- 8 accrual.

1

9

- Q. Do you know what it is?
- 10 A. It's a liability for -- of 2 billion
- 11 for comp that's estimated on the schedule.
- 12 Q. Do you know the source of that \$2
- 13 billion liability for comp that's listed on the
- 14 schedule?
- 15 A. The source of all the numbers on this
- 16 schedule were the same source. From our Finance
- 17 Department.
- 18 Q. That would be Kelly/Tonucci?
- 19 A. Correct.
- Q. Now, do you know if the 2 billion
- 21 listed for comp on that schedule bore any
- 22 relation to the amount accrued for bonuses as of
- 23 August of 2008 for Lehman employees?
- A. I don't have any direct knowledge.
- 25 Q. Do you have any indirect knowledge?

TSG Reporting - Worldwide (877) 702-9580

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - S. BERKENFELD

A. No

2

4

5

6

- 3 Q. Do you have any knowledge at all?
 - MR. STERN: Objection to form.
 - O. About that?
 - A. Just hearsay.
- 7 Q. Tell me what that is. What hearsay do
- 8 you have?
- 9 A. I just -- well, there was an
- 10 understanding as this came through that this
- 11 related to what was accrued, but I don't have
- 12 any direct knowledge of it.

13 Q. From whom did you gain -- did you

- 14 garner that understanding?
- 15 A. I think in the delivery of the
- 16 schedule at the time of the signing.
- 17 Q. And did you understand it to be an
- $18 \quad accrual \ for \ bonuses \ or \ an \ accrual \ for \ more \ than$
- 19 bonuses?
- 20 A. I understood it to be relevant to the
- 21 provisional compensation in the Asset Purchase
- 22 Agreement.
- 23 Q. You make a fair point. I think you
- 24 told me it's not an accrual, so let me rephrase
- 25 the question.

3

7

9

Page 62

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - S. BERKENFELD

2 Did you understand it to be an 3 estimate or a calculation of some kind -withdrawn. Did you have any knowledge one way 5 or the other when you saw this schedule as to whether it bore -- the \$2 billion number for 6 comp bore any relation to accruals for bonus

- 7 8 liability?
- 10 obligation that was set out in the Asset
- 11 Purchase Agreement in the compensation section.

A. I understood it as an estimate of the

- 12 Q. Okay. The compensation section of the 13 Asset Purchase Agreement covers severance and
- covers bonuses, correct?
- 15 A. As I recall.
- 16 Q. Okay. Was it your understanding that
- 17 this estimate related to both severance and to
- 18 bonuses?

1

15

20

1

9

- 19 A. That is correct.
- 20 Q. Again, for 19, sir, do you know if the
- 21 figures there on the asset side for these asset
- 22 classes of government agency, commercial paper,
- 23 et cetera, bore any relation to the marks at
- 24 which they were shown on Lehman's books?
- 25 A. My understanding is that they were TSG Reporting - Worldwide (877) 702-9580

Page 63

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - S. BERKENFELD based on the marks.

- Q. How did you get that understanding?
- 4 A. From the same source. Again, in the 5 delivery of the schedule from Martin and Paolo.
- 6 Q. Did they say something to you?
 - I don't recall exactly what they said. A.
- 8 Q. Yeah.
 - A. But it was in the delivery of the
- schedule and what it represented as an estimate 10
- for purchased assets and assumed liabilities. 11
- 12 O. To your knowledge, sir, was any 13 component of the business terms that were
- 14 agreed -- withdrawn. To your knowledge, did any
- component of the business terms that were agreed 15
- include a discount off of those marks? 16
- 17 A. I'm not aware of any discount off of 18 the marks.
- 19 Q. Did you ever have any discussion with 20 anyone on the Lehman side of the table about a
- 21 discount off of the marks? 22 A. Not that I recall.
- 23 Q. Was there any discussion that you ever
- 24 heard about a bulk discount?
- 25 A. Not that I recall.

TSG Reporting - Worldwide (877) 702-9580

Page 64

1

2

4

6

9

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - S. BERKENFELD

- 2 Q. Was it your understanding that the
- 3 economics of the transaction involved a \$5
- 4 billion overall loss to Lehman versus its marks?
- 5 A. I have never been informed of that.
- Q. Have you ever seen any document that 6 7 says that?
- 8 A. Not that I recall.
- 9 Q. So when you were involved in the
- drafting of the agreement, it was not part of
- 11 your knowledge that -- you did not have an
- understanding there was to be a discount given 12
- 13 to Barclays off the value of the assets
- 14 transferred; is that right?
 - A. I did not have that knowledge.
- Q. Do you know if, when Mr. Kelly or 16
- people under his supervision prepared this
- schedule, they in fact did discount off of the
- 19 marks shown on Lehman's books for those assets?
 - A. I have no knowledge of that.
- 21 Q. Do you have any knowledge of a
- 22 discount in the range of \$5 billion being
- 23 calculated before this schedule was put
- 24 together?
- 25 A. I have no knowledge of that.

TSG Reporting - Worldwide (877) 702-9580

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - S. BERKENFELD

Page 65

- Q. Have you ever had a discussion with
- Mr. Kelly about that? 3
 - A. I have not.
- 5 O. Have you ever --
 - A. That I recall.
- 7 Q. -- had a discussion with Mr. Tonucci
- 8 about that?
 - A. I have not.
- 10 Q. If you wanted to know the answer to those questions, who would you ask? 11
- 12
- MR. STERN: Objection to the form. 13 A. I would ask Mr. Kelly and Mr. Tonucci.
- 14 Q. Anyone else you think would have
- knowledge of that fact, whether the business 15
- terms included a \$5 billion discount to 16
- 17 Barclays?
- 18 A. I would ask the principal negotiators 19 of the deal.
- Q. And that would be Dave Shafir and 20 21 McGee?
- 22 MR. STERN: Objection to the form.
- 23 A. That's probably where I would start.
- 24 Q. Who would you ask on the Barclays side 25 of the table?

Page 66 Page 67 1 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - S. BERKENFELD HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - S. BERKENFELD 2 A. I would ask Rich Ricci. at the time the drafting was going on of the 3 Q. Anyone else? 3 Asset Purchase Agreement? By "him," I mean Mr. 4 4 A. I would ask Gerard LaRocco, I would Klein. 5 5 ask Archie Cox, and I would ask Michael Klein, A. I had discussions with Mr. Klein, but who was not a Barclays employee, but who was I don't recall any specific discussion around 6 7 the terms of the Asset Purchase Agreement. 7 acting as an advisor. 8 O. And did you have any discussion around 8 Q. Now, are Mr. Ricci, LaRocco, Cox and 9 Klein, would you describe them as the chief 9 the terms of the Asset Purchase Agreement at the 10 negotiators for Barclays? 10 time with Mr. Ricci, Mr. LaRocco, Mr. Cox? A. I would describe the chief negotiators 11 A. Not that I recall. 11 12 as Ricci, Cox and Klein. 12 Q. Was Mr. Diamond -- would you characterize Mr. Diamond as one of the 13 Q. Do you know where Mr. Klein works 13 14 today? 14 negotiators? 15 A. I do not. 15 A. I don't know. 16 16 Q. Do you know where he lives? Was he around the 32nd floor? A. I do not. 17 A. I don't have a recollection of him 17 18 O. Do you know if anyone at Barclays has 18 being there. 19 contact with him? 19 Q. Do you have a recollection of seeing 20 A. I don't know. 20 Mr. Ricci, Mr. LaRocco, Mr. Cox and Mr. Klein? 21 21 O. What was his role? How did he come to A. Yes. 22 Q. Did you have any discussion with Mr. 22 be an advisor for Barclays, do you know? 23 A. I don't know how he became an advisor. Diamond? 24 24 He was acting as an advisor for Barclays. A. No. 25 25 Q. Did you have any discussions with him (Exhibit 20, a document bearing Bates TSG Reporting - Worldwide (877) 702-9580 TSG Reporting - Worldwide (877) 702-9580 Page 68 Page 69 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - S. BERKENFELD HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - S. BERKENFELD 1 1 2 A. At any time. 2 Nos. 132841, marked for identification, as 3 3 O. Now, you'll see in the bottom of this date.) 4 Q. Mr. Berkenfeld, I have put before you e-mail -- and so the record will make some sense when we read it later on, I'm going to read the 5 what we have marked as Exhibit 20, a one-page e-mail into the record: 6 document bearing document number 132841, lower 7 7 right-hand corner, which appears to be an e-mail "Well, it took all night and lots of back and forth, but the deal is done and ready 8 sent from Paolo Tonucci to Ian Lowitt and Martin 9 Kelly and then an e-mail chain below that. for the board. Final price did not change meaningfully. Approx a \$5b all in economic loss 10 Let me know when you've had a chance 10 versus our marks and \$3.60b of resi assets left to look through and to read that document. 11 11 12 behind." 12 MR. STERN: Please read it carefully. 13 I don't think it's a document you've seen 13 I'll stop reading there. Do you have any idea what Mr. Kelly was describing to Mr. 14 14 before. 15 MR. GAFFEY: Thanks for answering my 15 Lowitt and Mr. Tonucci when he spoke about a 5 billion all in economic loss versus our marks? next question, Jack. Please don't do that. 16 16 17 17 MR. STERN: I said I think. A. I do not. 18 Q. Have you ever heard before today that 18 A. I'm under oath. 19 there may have been a \$5 billion all in economic 19 Q. I understand that. 20 loss versus Lehman marks --20 (Document review.) 21 21 A. Okay. A. I have not. 22 Q. -- in the asset purchase? 22 Q. Okay. Have you ever seen that 23 23 document before? A. I have not. 24 Q. If you did understand that to be true, 24 A. I have not.

25

Q. At any time?

TSG Reporting - Worldwide (877) 702-9580

that there was a \$5 billion all in economic loss

Page 70 Page 71 1 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - S. BERKENFELD 1 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - S. BERKENFELD 2 2 versus the marks, would that be consistent with on assets. 3 your understanding of the business terms? 3 Q. To your knowledge, were any of the 4 MR. STERN: Objection to the form. 4 lawyers involved in the drafting told anything 5 A. Could you repeat the question? about a discount being given to Barclays off of 6 (Record read.) 6 the value of the assets being transferred? 7 A. I don't think it would be 7 A. Not to my knowledge. 8 8 inconsistent. I don't think it would be Q. You understood that the terms of the 9 inconsistent. 9 transaction would have to be disclosed to the 10 Q. To your knowledge, in the drafting 10 bankruptcy court, correct? 11 that you were involved in of the Asset Purchase 11 A. Correct. 12 Agreement, was there anything in that agreement 12 Q. To your knowledge, was anyone who was 13 that suggested there would be a \$5 billion 13 responsible for making those disclosures to the 14 discount off the actual value of the assets 14 bankruptcy court told that there was a discount 15 to be given to Barclays off of the value of the transferred? 16 MR. STERN: Objection to the form. 16 assets transferred? 17 A. There was, to my knowledge, nothing in 17 MR. STERN: Objection to the form. 18 the Asset Purchase Agreement that addressed a 18 A. Not to my knowledge. 19 19 Q. When you say that giving a discount discount on assets. 20 O. To your knowledge, were any of the 20 would not have been inconsistent with the 21 lawyers involved in the drafting told anything 21 agreement --22 about a \$5 billion overall economic loss to 22 Well, let's look at the agreement. 23 23 Lehman against the marks? You have the Asset Purchase Agreement in front 24 A. To my knowledge, none of the lawyers 24 of you. It's marked as Exhibit 1. When you 25 involved in the drafting were aware of any loss signed the agreement, sir, you were confident, I TSG Reporting - Worldwide (877) 702-9580 TSG Reporting - Worldwide (877) 702-9580 Page 72 Page 73 1 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - S. BERKENFELD HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - S. BERKENFELD 2 2 take it, that you had an understanding of its MR. STERN: Objection to the form. 3 3 terms, correct? A. Not to my knowledge. 4 4 Q. I asked that latter question with A. Correct. 5 O. And normally I don't have to ask this respect to at any point during the bankruptcy proceedings from the original notification to 6 question of a lawyer, but you read it carefully before you signed it? You read the whole thing? the court there was an agreement through the 7 8 A. Correct. point where the closing documents were filed 9 9 with the court on September 22. That would be Q. Before, again, before we get to the 10 terms, was there any concept, sir, was there any the period from the 16th through the 22nd of part of the structure of the transaction that 11 September. 11 12 A. Again, not to my knowledge. contemplated giving a bulk discount to Barclays 13 from the value of the assets transferred? 13 Q. And to your knowledge, did anybody 14 14 make any disclosure like that to the court after A. Not that I was aware of. 15 Q. To your knowledge, were any of the 15 September 22? 16 lawyers involved or other people involved in the 16 MR. STERN: Objection to the form. 17 drafting of the Asset Purchase Agreement given 17

20 MR. STERN: Objection to the form.

A. Not to my knowledge.

some kind given to Barclays?

18

19

21

22 Q. And to your knowledge, sir, were any 23 of the people responsible for making disclosures to the bankruptcy court told that there would be 25 some kind of bulk discount given to Barclays?

any indication there would be a bulk discount of

TSG Reporting - Worldwide (877) 702-9580

A. I don't have knowledge of that. 18 (Exhibit 21, a document bearing Bates Nos. 10309627, marked for identification, as 19 20 of this date.)

21 Q. I have marked and put before you, Mr. 22 Berkenfeld, as Exhibit 21 a one-page document

23 bearing the number 10309627, which appears to be

24 an e-mail at the top, an e-mail from Alex Kirk

25 to Gerard Reilly and a list of other people, and

5

8

9

10

22

2

3

4 5

8

11

19

20

Page 74

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - S. BERKENFELD

2 at the bottom of that is an e-mail from Reilly 3 to Lowitt.

And I'd ask you to take a look at the bottom of that. Let me know when you've had a chance to read through the items marked 1, 2 and 3 in the body of the e-mail.

A. I will. 8

1

4

5

6

7

9

1

7

(Document review.)

- 10 Q. Have you had a chance to read through 11 the e-mail, sir?
- 12 A. Yes, I have.
- 13 Q. Have you ever seen that document
- 14 before?
- 15 A. I have not.
- Q. You've never seen it at any time? 16
- 17 A. No, I have not.
- Q. Referring to the paragraph numbered 3 18
- 19 in Mr. Reilly's e-mail to Mr. Lowitt, "Not clear
- on amount of block discount or how we make it
- happen. Defaulting on repo could be the best as
- 22 discount could be taken from haircut."
- 23 Actually -- period. Next sentence reads, "If
- not that, then we need to give business an
- 25 allocation of block discount so they can mark

TSG Reporting - Worldwide (877) 702-9580

Page 76

Page 75

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - S. BERKENFELD

2 down the books tonight." Do you see that?

- 3 A. Yes, I do.
 - Q. Did it ever come to your attention during the week of September 15 or 16 that there was going to be a markdown of books to give

7 Barclays a discount?

MR. STERN: Objection to the form.

- Q. You can answer, I think.
- A. Not that I recall.
- 11 O. As the signatory to the Asset Purchase
- Agreement, if it contemplated a block discount 12
- be given to Barclays, or any kind of discount 13
- being given to Barclays, after the value of the 14
- 15 long position that was being transferred, would 16

you have expected someone to tell you? 17 MR. STERN: Objection to the form.

- 18 A. I'm -- I'm not sure what these e-mails
- 19 mean precisely, I've never seen them before, but
- 20 I don't believe they mean what you are
- 21 suggesting them to mean.
 - Q. The question I asked was a little
- 23 different. Not with reference to those two
- 24 documents. The question I asked was whether, as
 - the signatory to the Asset Purchase Agreement

TSG Reporting - Worldwide (877) 702-9580

Page 77

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - S. BERKENFELD

- 2 that was filed with the bankruptcy court, if part of the business terms were that Barclays 3
- was to be given a discount off the value of the 4
- long position transferred, would you have 5
- expected someone to tell you that? 6
 - MR. STERN: Objection to the form.
- 8 A. If there was a business deal to give a
- 9 discount over then accurate valuations of
- positions as agreed to by the parties so that 10
- both parties said, this is the valuation in the 11 12 current market, with everything that was going
- on Monday and Tuesday, as opposed to Friday and 13
- 14 Thursday pre-bankruptcy, and everyone said these
- assets are worth X in today's market, given 15
- 16 their size, given their illiquidity, what their
- 17 fair market value is, they're worth X, and the
- deal is that we're going to sell them to you for 18
- something less than X so that you will be 19
- getting a discount off of the valuation at the
- 21 time under the current market, and if that was
- part of the business deal agreed to by the
- 23 parties, then I would have expected that to be
- 24 disclosed to the lawyers who were preparing the
- document and the lawyers who were presenting to
 - TSG Reporting Worldwide (877) 702-9580

- HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL S. BERKENFELD
- the bankruptcy court. Q. And one of the lawyers involved in preparing the document was you?
- A. That is correct.
- 6 Q. And you were the man who signed the 7 document, correct?
 - A. That is correct.
- 9 Q. And did anyone ever make such a 10 disclosure to you?
 - No.
- 12 We can take those two e-mails and put 0.
- them to the side. And if you would take a look, 13 please, at the Asset Purchase Agreement marked 14
- 15 as Exhibit 1.

16 Now, the first place I would ask you to take a look, sir, in the asset agreement is 17 18 at page 6, which contains the definition of "purchased assets." Tell me when you get there.

- A. Okay.
- 21 Q. Okay, you with me? Now, the purchased
- 22 assets as set out in the agreement on the
- 23 16th -- we're going to cover some changes that
- came during the week, but right now I'm asking 24 about what was in mind on the 16th -- referred 25

Page 79 Page 78 1 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - S. BERKENFELD 1 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - S. BERKENFELD 2 to in subsection D, "government securities, defined, long positions in that section of the commercial paper, corporate debt, corporate 3 purchase agreement. 4 Q. So where the schedule calculates equity, exchange traded derivatives and 5 collateralized short-term agreements with a book 5 assets, adjusted total assets at 72.65 billion, value as of the date hereof approximately of \$70 that's put at approximately 70 billion in the 7 7 billion (collectively long positions)." You see agreement? 8 that? 8 A. There's also Section E, which is 50 9 A. Yes. 9 percent of each position in the residential real 10 Q. Is there a relation, sir, between the 10 estate mortgage securities. So there's also a 11 description of the long positions having a book 11 mortgage item here -value of approximately \$70 billion and the 12 O. Okav. 13 calculations on the financial statement --13 A. -- that's not in the list in D. So, financial schedule we have marked as Exhibit 19? government securities, commercial paper, it 15 A. Yes, there is a relationship. 15 skips to corporate debt, corporate equity, 16 Q. Okay. Describe that for me. 16 derivatives, collateralized short-term 17 A. The best way to describe it is that 17 agreements. 18 the schedule, Exhibit 19, was meant -- was not 18 Q. Right. part of the Asset Purchase Agreement and, of 19 A. No mortgages. Mortgages are mentioned course, could have been referred to in this 20 in Section E. section by the lawyers and incorporated into the 21 Q. Okay. So if I cut the mortgage piece 22 by half, I get closer to 70; is that what you're purchase agreement. It was not. 23 The best description is that it is 23 telling me? I'm in 19, right? 24 meant as guidance of what was included in that A. No, if you deduct the number of 25 mortgages, 2.7, on the schedule from 72.65, you 25 very general description of 70 billion of, as TSG Reporting - Worldwide (877) 702-9580 TSG Reporting - Worldwide (877) 702-9580 Page 80 Page 81 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - S. BERKENFELD HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - S. BERKENFELD 1 1 2 2 get to approximately 70 billion. A. It's referred to in Subsection E of 3 3 Q. Well, if I only took 50 percent of Purchased Assets. them, I wouldn't deduct the whole amount, would 4 Q. Well, again, sir, just -- and this is 4 5 my math skills, I guess, but if I added 2.7 back 1? 6 A. The amount, I believe, on the schedule into the asset side of schedule 19 to account 7 7 represents the 50 percent, not 100 percent. for the other 50 percent on mortgages, I come up 8 Q. So the 2.7, it may actually be a 8 with 65.4 as a total for those assets, which 9 number more like 5.4? would give you an adjusted total of 75.4, or are 9 10 A. I believe that is correct. I don't 10 we missing each other still? 11 MR. STERN: Objection to the form. 11 specifically recall. 12 Q. Okay. If the 2.7 is 50 percent of the 12 A. I believe we're missing each other 13 still. resis, of the residential real estate mortgage securities, then isn't Subsection E already 14 Q. Okay.

15 accounted for in the schedule? Maybe I'm not 16 understanding your answer. 17 MR. STERN: Objection to the form. 18 A. There is a gap between 70 billion and 19 72.65 --20 O. Uh-huh.

21 A. -- that is approximately the 2.7 that

22 is listed on the mortgages. The 2.7 that's

23 listed on the mortgages is not in subsection D

24 of Purchased Assets.

25 Q. Uh-huh.

TSG Reporting - Worldwide (877) 702-9580

15 A. If you take all of the items listed in

16 Section D --

Q. Uh-huh. 17

18 A. -- they approximate 70 billion. Just

19 add them up.

23

20 Q. Got it. Now I understand what you're 21 saying.

22 A. 41.1, 4.9, 8.8, 4.5, .7, 10.

Q. All right. Got it. Thank you.

24 Now, so what that means is, once

25 you've made that adjustment for the items D and

Page 82

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - S. BERKENFELD

- 2 E that you just discussed to me, the so-called
- 3 long positions add up to the 70 that this
- 4 schedule marked as Exhibit 19 would show?
- 5 A. To be precise, you also need to
- 6 include A, cash.
- 7 Q. Right, because you've got that \$700 8 million cash item on here, yes? On the
 - schedule?

1

9

- 10 A. I would characterize Exhibit 19, the
- 11 schedule, as being guidance for what was being
- 12 referred to in words and not cross-referenced to
- 13 a schedule, not with the schedule incorporated,
- in the definition of "purchased assets" under
- 15 Section A, D and E.
- 16 Q. Okay. And is it safe for me -- well,
- 17 let me ask you this: Covering a little bit of
- what we talked about this morning, you -- it's
- 19 correct that you do not have any knowledge about
- 20 the process by which this schedule marked as
- 21 Exhibit 19 was assembled?
- 22 A. I do not have any knowledge of the
- 23 process that our finance staff went through to
- 24 come up with these numbers.
- 25 Q. Okay.

1

6

TSG Reporting - Worldwide (877) 702-9580

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - S. BERKENFELD

- 2 A. I have no knowledge whether these
 - represent all of those positions that were on
- the books and records or how the valuations were
- 5 calculated. I don't have any information about

7

8

9

- Q. Nor do you have any information about whether this accurately reflects what's shown as the marks on the books?
- 10 A. I don't have any information or
- 11 knowledge about how these numbers relate to the
- 12 marks on the books as of any particular date,
- whether that was close of business on Friday or
- 14 close of business on Monday or Tuesday, as
- 15 markets were moving very significantly and there
- 16 was a tremendous amount of volatility.
- 17 Q. And other than the -- well, if you
- 18 have Exhibit 18 there, it's the slightly earlier
- 19 version of the schedule, it's the one with the
- 20 time of 10:09. Okay? You recall being given 21
- the schedule marked as Exhibit 18, that's the 22
- 10:09 A.M. schedule, by Kelly or Tonucci,
- 23 correct?

6

- 24 A. Correct.
- 25 Q. Okay. And then at or sometime

TSG Reporting - Worldwide (877) 702-9580

Page 84

Page 85

Page 83

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - S. BERKENFELD

- 2 slightly after -- or sometime after 11:18 A.M.,
- you're given the modified version of that
- 4 schedule which we have marked as Exhibit 19 and
- 5 which you signed off on as final, correct?
 - A. Correct.
- 7 Q. Was there any explanation given to you 8 for the modification of the schedule?
- 9 A. Not that I recall.
- 10 Q. Did you ask for one?
- 11 A. No, I don't recall.
- 12 Q. So I'm clear on what your recollection
- is or isn't, are you saying you don't recall one
- way or the other whether you had the discussion
- 15 or you don't recall, you don't think you had the
- 16 discussion?
- 17 MR. STERN: Objection to the form.
- 18 A. I think the best answer is I don't
- think I had a discussion. I didn't see the
- 20 differences between the two schedules as
- 21 significant. One was 73.15. The other was
- 22 72.65. On a percentage basis, it was not a
- 23 significant difference.
- 24 Q. I'm just being suggestive with this
- question. It's not based on anything anybody

TSG Reporting - Worldwide (877) 702-9580

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - S. BERKENFELD

- else has told me, but you've got a lot going on,
- you're doing the deal, they're giving you the
- 4 schedule the calculations are going to be based 5 on, and in a space of about 45 minutes, it's
 - changed.
- 7 Do you suggest to anyone in sum or
- 8 substance, are you guys going to get me a final 9
- one? I mean, are you concerned with the fact 10 that it's changing at that pace?
- 11 A. I wanted to document which was the
- 12 final schedule to provide the guidance.
- 13 O. Right.
- A. So I wouldn't have dated it and put 14
- 15 "final" on it and initialed it unless there was
- a desire on my part to sort of put some kind of 16
- 17 finality --

21

- 18 Q. Okay.
- 19 A. -- at that point in time --
- 20 Q. Got it.
 - A. -- on what this estimate was.
- 22 Q. Would you turn, please, to page 35 of
- 23 the agreement. That will put us in Article 9,
- 24 in Section 9.1(C).
- 25 MR. STERN: Page 35?

Page 86 Page 87 1 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - S. BERKENFELD 1 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - S. BERKENFELD 2 MR. GAFFEY: Page 35 of Exhibit 1. 2 Q. Okay. Given the express reference to 3 MR. STERN: And which article? We're that schedule in 9.1(C), which has to do with 4 looking at 9.1(C)? certain compensation to transferred employees 5 MR. GAFFEY: Yes. 5 issues, is there a reason the schedule was not 6 Q. I just want to direct your 6 attached to the agreement that was filed with 7 attention -- I'm going to come back to the 7 the court? 8 section with some questions about its terms, but 8 MR. STERN: Objection to the form. 9 9 I direct your attention, sir, within 9.1(C), A. I don't remember any discussion among 10 which is on page 35, there's a reference 10 all of the lawyers that said we're not going to 11 starting four lines down to "the financial 11 attach the schedule for these reasons. 12 scheduled delivered to purchaser on September 12 Q. Okay. 13 **16."** With me? 13 A. I think that each lawyer might have 14 A. Uh-huh. 14 had different views on why it wasn't attached. Q. That's a reference to, "The financial 15 15 Q. Uh-huh. 16 schedule delivered to purchaser on September 16, 16 A. I don't recall a consensus, should we 2008, and initialed by an officer of each of 17 attach it or not. Holdings and Purchaser," and then the liability 18 Q. Okay. to which it refers is defined. Do you see that 19 A. As far as I know, this is only 20 20 sentence there? reference to the agreement. 21 A. Yes. I do. 21 Q. All right. 22 Q. All right. Now, is the schedule that 22 A. In the agreement to the schedule. 23 we've marked as Exhibit 19 the schedule to which 23 Q. It is. I agree with you there. 24 9.1(C) refers? A. I haven't reread the agreement, so I 24 25 A. Yes, I believe so. 25 don't remember. TSG Reporting - Worldwide (877) 702-9580 TSG Reporting - Worldwide (877) 702-9580 Page 88 Page 89 1 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - S. BERKENFELD 1 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - S. BERKENFELD 2 2 O. I have. the free-standing -- to the schedule, the Asset 3 A. But I do know as a lawyer and as an 3 Purchase Agreement tells the reader that M&A lawyer by early training, that with all the 4 approximately \$70 billion book value of the long 5 drafts going on it would have been very easy to position is being transferred, correct? That's refer to the same schedule in the purchased what the reader should rely on for the value of 7 assets or the assumed liabilities or other 7 the deal, \$70 billion in long position, yes? 8 sections of the agreement. 8 A. The purchase agreement says that 9 Q. Right. 9 what's being transferred over is approximately 10 A. And again, this is consistent with my 10 70 billion of book value. 11 characterization of this as guidance. 11 O. Uh-huh. Q. "This" being this schedule? 12 12 A. As of that date. A. The schedule, excuse me, Exhibit 9. 13 13 Right. 14 Let me be more precise. It's guidance for what 14 Of long positions in a variety of A. 15 was meant in the Asset and Liability sections of 15 assets. the Purchase Agreement, but not to be thought of 16 Q. Now, under the agreement, Barclays was as definitive enough to be included as part of a 17 going to, I think you said before, and we've all schedule to the agreement that said it's these 18 read the agreement, was going to purchase the assets and these liabilities that are being 19 assets by paying a certain amount in cash and 20 transferred over. 20 then assume certain liabilities, correct? 21 MR. STERN: You said Exhibit 9. You 21 A. I don't think I said it that way. I 22 meant Exhibit 19? said that there were certain assets that were 23 being purchased for cash, including real estate THE WITNESS: 19. I'm sorry. Exhibit 23 24 24 and goodwill or franchise value. 19. 25 25 Q. Now, so without regard to the exhibit, Q. Right.

TSG Reporting - Worldwide (877) 702-9580

Page 90 Page 91 1 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - S. BERKENFELD HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - S. BERKENFELD 2 A. And then there was going to be a Section 2.3 entitled Assumption of Liabilities. 3 3 transfer over of assets and liabilities. Do you see that? Q. Okay. Now, with respect to the 4 A. Yes. 5 5 Q. And there are in subsections "A" transfer of the assets, was there ever any discussion of a so-called matched book, you 6 6 through "I" descriptions of the liabilities that know, there was a matched book concept in this Barclays would assume, correct? 8 deal that the liabilities that Barclavs assumed 8 A. Correct. 9 9 in connection with the transferred assets would Q. And the assumption of those 10 roughly equal the transferred assets? liabilities, to your understanding as the 11 MR. STERN: Objection to the form. 11 signatory to the agreement, was part of the 12 A. I don't recall any specific 12 consideration that Barclays gave for the assets 13 discussions around a matched book. 13 purchased, correct? 14 14 Q. What I want to know is whether that A. Yes. 15 term was used, "matched book," to your 15 Q. And among the liabilities that 16 knowledge. 16 Barclays agreed to assume were all liabilities 17 A. To my recollection, I don't remember 17 assumed under Article 9, and I'm referring there to Section 2.3, subsection C, you with me? 18 if the term was used or not. 18 19 Q. Okay. Now, if you would turn, please, 19 A. Yes. 20 20 Mr. Berkenfeld, to page 11. It's Article 2, Q. Okay. And Article 9 brings us back to entitled Purchase and Sale of Assets, Assumption 21 page --22 of Liabilities? 22 MR. STERN: 34. 23 A. Yes. 23 Q. -- 34, entitled Employees and Employee 24 24 Q. Now, one of the agreements that Benefits, yes? 25 Barclays made in this contract was set out in 25 A. Yes. TSG Reporting - Worldwide (877) 702-9580 TSG Reporting - Worldwide (877) 702-9580 Page 92 Page 93 1 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - S. BERKENFELD 1 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - S. BERKENFELD 2 2 Q. And in Article 9(B), there is a Q. Okay. And as between 9.1(B) and 3 reference -- there's a description and a 9.1(C), the only one that refers to the 4 4 discussion of severance payments and benefits schedule, the financial schedule, the one we've 5 that Barclays would give to terminated 5 marked as Exhibit 19, is 9.1(C), correct? transferred employees. By "transferred 6 Correct. 7 employees," I mean people who meant from Lehman 7 Q. So, using the logic that or the 8 to Barclays. Is that right? reasoning that you gave to me with respect to 9 A. 9.1(B), you said? 9 the definitions of "purchased assets" and the --10 Q. 9.1(B). 10 and not mentioning the schedule there, would you A. Yes. 11 11 agree with me, sir, that that must mean that the 12 Q. Put in short form, 9.1(B) deals with 12 financial schedule we have marked as Exhibit 19 severance benefits, yes? 13 13 bears no relation to the requirements of Section 14 A. Yes. 14 9.1(B) regarding severance? 15 15 Q. And 9.1(C) deals with bonuses? MR. STERN: Objection to the form. 16 A. I would have to read 9.1(C) again. 16 A. No, I don't agree with that. 17 Q. Take your time and read it. 17 Q. Okay. So not referring to it in the 18 (Document review.) 18 definition of "purchased assets" has meaning, 19 A. Okay. 19 but not referring to it in Section 9.1(B) 20 Q. Okay. Now, you've had a chance to 20 doesn't -- requires a different analysis? Could 21 look through the language of 9.1(C)? 21 you explain that?

22

23

MR. STERN: Objection to the form.

A. I think you have to look at the words

TSG Reporting - Worldwide (877) 702-9580

very carefully. Purchaser shall or shall cause

it subs to pay each transferred employee an

22

23

24

25

A. Yes.

bonuses, correct?

A. That is correct.

Q. My question was 9.1(C) deals with

Page 94 Page 95 1 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - S. BERKENFELD 1 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - S. BERKENFELD 2 annual bonus. In respect of 2008, that in the You can answer if you remember. 3 aggregate are equal in amount to 100 percent of 3 Q. Do you remember? It's not a remember the bonus pool amounts. Accrued and respect 4 question. It's a drafting technique question. 5 amounts payable in respect of compensation and 5 A. Which question? I think you asked reflected, reflected on the financial schedule 6 four or five. 7 7 delivered to. Q. How deliberate was your choice of the 8 Q. Uh-huh. And the amount --8 verb "reflecting" --9 9 A. Not set forth on the financial MR. STERN: Objection to the --Q. -- when you agreed to it when you 10 schedule, not in the amount specified, but 10 signed the Asset Purchase Agreement, as opposed reflected. So I believe that you are reading 11 12 too much into the schedule to say that comp 12 to the other verbs that you pointed out are not 13 used here? 13 means bonuses. 14 14 A. I don't believe I choose the verb Q. How much time did you spend when you "reflecting." 15 were drafting this document deliberately 15 choosing the precise verb "reflected" to make 16 Q. Do you know who did choose the verb 17 "reflecting"? sure that that was what was meant by that 18 A. I don't know. 18 provision, sir? 19 MR. STERN: Objection to the form. 19 Q. So is your assessment of the meaning 20 20 of the verb "reflecting" a sort of retroactive Q. Did you spend a lot of time on that? 21 MR. STERN: Objection to the form. assessment? It wasn't one you were involved in 22 Q. Is that the verb that M&A lawyers use at the time of the drafting of the agreement; is 23 to mean the schedule might mean less than it 23 that right? 24 MR. STERN: Object to the form. 24 says? 25 A. I think that's a mischaracterization 25 MR. STERN: Objection to the form. TSG Reporting - Worldwide (877) 702-9580 TSG Reporting - Worldwide (877) 702-9580 Page 96 Page 97 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - S. BERKENFELD 1 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - S. BERKENFELD 1 2 2 of the point I made earlier that the schedule O. Right. 3 A. As an unnecessary and gratuitous 3 was meant as guidance. It was referred to in remark, you can compare this purchase agreement 4 the section. to many other purchase agreements done in a 5 Q. Right. 6 normal timeframe that would have attached to 6 A. But it was never attached to the 7 7 agreement, and even in this provision -- let me them --8 finish -- could have been attached to the Q. A schedule? 8 agreement or the number itself could have been 9 A. -- hundreds of pages of schedules that 10 would list every piece of furniture and put in the agreement, that wouldn't have taken 10 11 too much difficulty, instead of writing all 11 equipment that might go on a particular 12 transaction. 12 these extra words that say in the financial 13 schedule or so and so. They could have just, if 13 Q. Okay. A. So I'm answering your question beyond 14 your interpretation was correct, said 2 billion. 14 Q. All right. So -where I think you asked it, but to kind of maybe 15 15 take a step forward instead of going around it. 16 16 A. So that was not done. This schedule, 17 Q. No, I appreciate that. 17 again, was meant as guidance. It had 18 A. We could have -significance because in a very short timeframe 19 Please let me finish. 19 we were trying to pull a deal together, and 20 20 there were provisions in this agreement that O. Sure. 21 didn't have schedules attached where an 21 A. We could have put specific numbers in this agreement. We could have attached specific 22 22 agreement customarily would say these are 23 schedules to this agreement. Even this 23 specifically the assets you are buying, these 24 reference to the schedule is done in a fairly 24 are specifically the liabilities you are 25 imprecise way --

TSG Reporting - Worldwide (877) 702-9580

25 assuming.

Page 98 Page 99 1 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - S. BERKENFELD 1 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - S. BERKENFELD 2 2 Q. Uh-huh. Q. -- correct? 3 A. -- where it could have set forth as 3 A. I would rephrase it again as saying 4 schedule X, right? And that wasn't done either. 4 you have a schedule here with some very rounded 5 So the provision is -- receives 5 numbers, including 2.0 for comp. 6 guidance from the schedule, but the provision 6 O. Uh-huh. 7 wasn't meant to be a specific attachment or 7 A. I don't know firsthand, but I would 8 cross-reference to a number on a particular 8 doubt that accrued bonuses at that point in time 9 schedule. 9 happened to just be exactly --10 Q. One other reason you might not have 10 Q. 2 billion? attached it is you didn't want it filed in the A. -- 2 billion. 11 11 12 court; that's another possibility, isn't it? 12 So I believe that the schedule was 13 MR. STERN: Objection to the form. 13 again meant as guidance to say that there were 14 Q. That's just -- I'm speculating too, 14 approximately 70 billion of assets of those type 15 but that is another reason you might choose not 15 of assets plus cash and mortgages and to put it the agreement? approximately another number of assumed A. I have no knowledge of that. 17 17 liabilities -- we haven't looked at that section 1.8 Q. So, if I have this guidance point 18 of the agreement yet -- and approximately this 19 right, because it's not referred to at all in much of comp that is referred to in Article 9. the "purchased assets" definition, it's 20 The liability that Barclays was taking on was guidance. Because it's only referred to but not 21 the entire liability of Section 9, which include 22 attached in 9.1(C), it's also guidance. In bonuses and severance. order to be governing, it has to be attached, is 23 MR. STERN: On Exhibit 19, have you 24 that ---24 located a copy that was initialed by --25 25 MR. GAFFEY: Jack, why don't we wait MR. STERN: Objection to the form. TSG Reporting - Worldwide (877) 702-9580 TSG Reporting - Worldwide (877) 702-9580 Page 100 Page 101 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - S. BERKENFELD HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - S. BERKENFELD 1 1 2 2 until we take my deposition. Ask your MR. GAFFEY: So is coaching your 3 client. Don't take my time with this. If 3 witness. Don't do it, Jack. Come on. 4 you want to take him out and coach him, go 4 MR. STERN: I'm asking you --5 5 ahead, but don't do it on the record. It's MR. GAFFEY: You know better than 6 6 just wasting everybody's time. that. 7 MR. STERN: I would just ask because 7 MR. STERN: -- if you have a different 8 we have not located a copy. I don't know if 8 version of this. 9 you have one that was initialed by anybody 9 MR. GAFFEY: If I have a different 10 from Barclays. 10 version, I'll get to it. We're not done yet 11 11 MR. GAFFEY: I have shown him the one today, are we? 12 with his initials, okay? When you want to 12 MR. STERN: No, we're not. 13 have a discussion about document production, 13 MR. GAFFEY: And if it's not his 14 let's do it in more fruitful time. You know 14 initials on it, I might show it to --15 that's improper. 15 MR. STERN: There's no reason to --16 16 Q. Do you want to change any of your I'm not coaching the witness. answer about that being the governing schedule? 17 MR. GAFFEY: Of course there is. 17 18 MR. STERN: This doesn't relate --18 You're blatantly violating the rules by 19 MR. GAFFEY: Let me finish. I want to 19 coaching the witness. 20 20 ask the witness a question. MR. STERN: I'm not coaching the 21 21 Q. Is there any part of your answer you witness. want to change based on what Mr. Stern just 22 MR. GAFFEY: You know what you're

23

24

25

doing. Don't do it.

MR. STERN: I'm asking about the

exhibit at a time when we're focusing on the TSG Reporting - Worldwide (877) 702-9580

23

24

25

said? Any of your answers?

not to answer. That's improper.

MR. STERN: I'm going to instruct you

Page 102 Page 103 1 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - S. BERKENFELD 1 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - S. BERKENFELD 2 exhibit. 2 A. I wouldn't know. 3 3 MR. GAFFEY: Are you done? Q. Okay. Do you know if the comp number 4 MR. STERN: I am. 4 on 19 was increased beyond the actual accrual 5 Q. Now, back to your testimony, the 5 shown on Lehman's books for comp? 6 definition of "purchased assets" uses the word 6 A. I have no knowledge of how the number 7 "approximately," do you recall that, the 7 itself was arrived at. 8 definition of the long position? 8 MR. GAFFEY: Jack, could you put 9 9 MR. STERN: What section are you Exhibit 20 back in front of Mr. Berkenfeld. 10 referring to? 10 MR. STERN: Sure. 11 MR. GAFFEY: I'm in section --11 Q. Exhibit 20 is Mr. Kelly's e-mail to 12 MR. STERN: Definition of Purchased 12 Mr. Lowitt dated September 16, and there's a 13 13 reference in it, sir, to "an extra 1 billion of 14 MR. GAFFEY: Yeah, Definition of 14 comp beyond our accrual." 15 15 Purchased Assets, Section 1(B). That's why I asked the question. Not 16 Q. That does use the word 16 because it's in this e-mail, that's why I 17 "approximately," right? 17 thought to ask the question. You have no 18 A. Yes. knowledge one way or the other about whether 18 19 Q. But the reference in 9.1(C) to the 19 that 2 billion is actually \$1 billion of comp 20 schedule does not use the word "approximately," 20 beyond Lehman's accrual? 21 does it? Is that a deliberate choice? A. I have no knowledge one way or the MR. STERN: We're on page 35? 22 22 other. 23 MR. GAFFEY: Yes. 23 Q. Have you seen any work sheets prepared 24 A. It was not a deliberate choice by me. 24 by Mr. Kelly or someone under his supervision 25 Q. Was it a deliberate choice by anybody? 25 that show a \$1 billion transaction adjustment to TSG Reporting - Worldwide (877) 702-9580 TSG Reporting - Worldwide (877) 702-9580 Page 104 Page 105 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - S. BERKENFELD HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - S. BERKENFELD 1 2 the comp accrual on Lehman's books? that Barclays would assume liabilities that were 3 3 A. I have not. at the time estimated roughly to be in this 4 4 Q. Have you ever seen a schedule or amount. 5 5 worksheet prepared by Mr. Kelly or anyone under Q. Okay. And that the liabilities that his supervision that reflects an adjustment to Barclays -- I don't want to hold you to the 7 the values shown on the assets side of the 7 exact cent of the number, that level of 8 financial schedule we have marked as Exhibit 19? 8 specificity, but the liability that Barclays 9 9 would assume would be roughly in the A. I'm sorry. Can you read back that question, please? 10 neighborhood of the cure and comp -- for cure 10 11 (Record read.) 11 and comp would be roughly in the neighborhood of 12 A. I have not. 12 the cure and comp amounts shown on the financial 13 Q. Did anyone ever suggest to you in sum 13 schedule as guidance? or substance, sir, when you saw the financial 14 MR. STERN: Objection to the form. 15 schedule marked as Exhibit 19 that the comp and 15 A. As of the date of this agreement, I 16 cure numbers were just plug numbers to make it 16 believe it was the understanding that there were 17 balanced? 17 liabilities being assumed by Barclays, some of 18 A. To my recollection, no one had ever 18 which could be estimated with more precision 19 suggested that to me. 19 than others. Certain of the securities 20 positions, for instance, could be estimated with 20 Q. Was it the contemplation, was it part 21 of the structure of the transaction that more precision, but that the obligations of Barclays was in fact going to undertake to Barclays were going to be set forth in the 23 Purchase Agreement, not determined by the 23 assume liabilities in roughly the amounts guided 24 24 by the schedule marked as Exhibit 19? schedule. 25 25 A. I believe it was the understanding Q. Did the obligation to assume by

TSG Reporting - Worldwide (877) 702-9580

4

5

6

7

8

12

17

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

Page 106

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - S. BERKENFELD Barclays bear any relation at all to what was set out in the schedule?

MR. STERN: Objection to the form.

A. I believe that the agreement refers to

6 assumed liabilities --

Q. Uh-huh.

8 A. -- of securities positions of

9 approximately 69 billion.

10 Q. Right.

1

2

3

4

5

7

14

1

3

4

6

7

8

11

13

11 Which is the number that --

12 Q. That's the short position that we see

13 in the agreement?

A. |-- is reflected in the exhibit.

15 Q. Right. What about the numbers

16 reflected in the exhibit for liabilities for

cure and comp, are they roughly -- was that also

guidance as to roughly the levels they would be

19 at?

20 A. It was -- under the agreement the

amount to be paid in comp refers to the

schedule, and that was rough guidance of what

23 would be paid.

24 O. Okav.

25 A. With regard to cure payments, I think

TSG Reporting - Worldwide (877) 702-9580

Page 107

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - S. BERKENFELD it's covered separately by the agreement.

Q. It's a little different because of the provisions to cure.

MR. STERN: I think he needs to finish his answer. I don't think he finished his answer.

Q. Did you finish your answer? Sorry.

9 A. I believe the cure payments were 10 covered separately by the agreement in a 11 separate provision.

O. Right.

13 A. That does not make reference to the 14 schedule.

15 MR. STERN: Can we take another short 16 break?

MR. GAFFEY: Sure.

18 (Recess; Time Noted: 11:42 A.M.) 19

(Time Noted: 11:49 A.M.)

20 BY MR. GAFFEY:

21 Q. Going back to this concept, Mr.

Berkenfeld, of the schedule being guidance, one

aspect of the schedule, though, is that they

balance; assets equal liabilities on these 24

25 financial schedules, correct?

TSG Reporting - Worldwide (877) 702-9580

Page 108

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - S. BERKENFELD

2 A. Approximately.

Q. I mean, there's a difference between

18 and 19 because of the change in the numbers,

but internally, the assets are supposed to match

the liabilities as part of the structure of the

deal, correct?

MR. STERN: Objection to the form.

9 A. The schedule indicates assets and

10 liabilities that are the same.

O. Okay. Was that a feature of the 12 structure of the transaction?

MR. STERN: Objection to the form.

14 A. Again, the schedule -- I think that's

15 reading too much into the schedule as providing

guidance to what certain of the provisions of

17 the agreement meant. I would like to the Asset

18 Purchase Agreement as what the deal was, we

19 covered that earlier in my testimony, as opposed

20 to the schedule being the deal. The agreement

21 was the deal. The schedule was not even part of

22 it.

23 But it was something that the guidance

24 we thought would be helpful and we did document

25 it as a final schedule.

TSG Reporting - Worldwide (877) 702-9580

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - S. BERKENFELD

Page 109

Q. Quite apart from the schedule, let's push them to the side. I want to get your understanding of the deal that you signed.

Was the deal structured in a way -- on the 16th when you signed the deal, was it contemplated as part of that deal that either -that Lehman would enjoy a net benefit, a gain from the deal, or Barclays would, or it would be a wash?

11 A. There were certain -- there was 12 consideration being paid for assets of Lehman 13 Brothers, for the real estate, for the going 14 concern.

15 Your question was, was that gain? I 16 don't know. I imagine at some point in time we 17 thought that the franchise of Lehman Brothers 18 was worth more than \$250 million and the real 19 estate may have been worth more than what was 20 ultimately paid for it, too.

21 So there was that component of the 22 transaction, and there were assets that were 23 being moved over as employees were being moved 24 over who managed those positions, and there was an estimated amount of liabilities in the form 25

Page 110

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - S. BERKENFELD

2 of both security positions that moved over again

- 3 that to some extent had relationship with the
- 4 assets, and there were a bunch of other

1

1

7

8

20

- liabilities that Barclays was assuming in the 5
- 6 transaction and that were no longer going to be
- 7 liabilities of Lehman Brothers of the estate of
- 8 the bankrupt company, including liabilities for
- 9 compensating employees that they were hiring
- through the whole year, not from closing on, and
- for severance of employees who otherwise would
- 12 have been without severance and would have been
- 13 terminated by the estate without any, you know,
- 14 benefits, healthcare, worker's comp, T & E that

15 they were owed. It was that simple.

16 So it was a big value to being able to 17 take all those employees off of the estate's

- responsibility and onto Barclays, and then there
- 19 were a number of things like accounts payable
- and contracts that were going to be assumed that
- had liabilities associated with them. And that
- 22 was my understanding of the transaction.
- 23 Q. Was it your understanding of the
- 24 transaction that, at the end of the transaction
- 25 that you agreed to, Barclays would enjoy a gain TSG Reporting - Worldwide (877) 702-9580

Page 111

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - S. BERKENFELD

2 based on the excess of the fair value of net 3 assets acquired over the consideration that it 4 paid?

5 A. I did not have an understanding at the 6 time that the transaction was signed or as it 7 evolved post-signing into the closing that there 8 was any embedded gain on the part of Barclays 9 around specific positions.

10 I would like to clarify that I 11 certainly thought that over time they would realize more than \$250 million of value for the 12 Lehman Brothers franchise. I worked there for a 14 long time. I believed that the franchise and 15 all the employees it made up was worth something more than \$250 million in a normal market, but 16 17 there was no normal market and that value would 18 have become zero very quickly if this 19 transaction hadn't happened.

20 So you specifically said, you know, 21 gain and value.

0. Gain on acquisition is actually what I said.

24 Gain on acquisition. And so my answer 25 is that this had a potential to be a very

TSG Reporting - Worldwide (877) 702-9580

Page 112

22

23

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

14

18

23

24

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - S. BERKENFELD

- 2 positive and valuable transaction to Barclays,
- 3 but it depended on what happened afterwards and
- 4 it depended on their ability to retain the
- 5 employees that they were hiring and the
- franchise value of those employees. 6
 - Q. I'm not actually asking about the long-term value. If it operates what it bought
- well, it makes money in the long-term, great.
- 10 I'm asking whether it was -- whether the
- transaction was, in your mind when you signed 11
- it, was it structured so that Barclays would 12
- enjoy a gain because of the excess of the fair
- 14 value of the net assets it acquired over the

15 consideration that Barclays paid? 16

MR. STERN: Objection to the form.

17 Q. Not whether it was going to long-term 18 be successful operating the business. On 19 acquisition.

MR. STERN: Objection to the form.

- 21 A. My understanding of the transaction is
- 22 that, on acquisition, as reflected in the Asset
- 23 Purchase Agreement, it was not intended that
- 24 Barclays would have an immediate excess value in
- the assets that they were bringing over.

TSG Reporting - Worldwide (877) 702-9580

Page 113

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - S. BERKENFELD

Q. Okay. Was that intended in any part of the deal as it evolved between the 16th of September and when it closed on the 22nd?

MR. STERN: Objection to the form.

- A. To my knowledge, it was not.
- Q. Now, the Asset Purchase Agreement -and I'm at page 12. I want to get to the definition of "short positions," which is Section 2.3(i). You with me?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. And have you had a chance to 12 13 read through that, please?
 - A. Yes.
- 15 Q. Okay. And that puts the short 16 positions, that would be the liabilities side, 17 at 69 billion, approximately 69 billion, yes?
 - A. That's correct.
- 19 Q. Okay. And then I ask you to take a 20 look at the -- I want to take a look, please, at 21 Section 3.3, which is found at page 14 and is 22 entitled Adjustment to Cash Amount.

Let me know when you've had enough time to familiarize, you know, remind yourself of the terms of Section 3.3.

Page 114

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - S. BERKENFELD

2 A. Okay.

1

9

- 3 Q. Okay. Now, in substance, sir, Section
- 4 3.3 provided that if the value of the long
- 5 position increased over the 12 months after the
- 6 deal, that Lehman could enjoy the benefit of
- 7 that, the upside of that up to a cap of \$750
- 8 million. Is that more or less the essence of
 - the provision?
- 10 A. The essence of the provision is that
- there was a profit-sharing --11
- 12 Q. Uh-huh.
- 13 A. -- provision so that if the value of
- the long positions had changed over the -- or
- 15 the value of the short positions, but so that
- 16 the combination of the positions transferred
- 17 over led to a gain of more than a certain
- 18 amount --
- 19 Q. Right.
- 20 A. -- actually any amount, they would be
- 21 transferred over to the seller and it was
- capped, yes.
- 23 Q. Why was that provision originally
- 24 included in the agreement you signed on
- 25 September 16?

1

2

3

4

16

TSG Reporting - Worldwide (877) 702-9580

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - S. BERKENFELD

Page 115

Page 117

- A. Because there was an appreciation for
- the volatility of the market, both after the
- Lehman bankruptcy and the week before, too, very
- 5 volatile situation, and the amount of risk and
- potentially opportunity in the positions and an
- 7 expectation that the positions could move
- 8 significantly, and that it would be difficult to
- 9 put any sort of stake in the ground and say
- these positions are worth this and when they're 10 realized that's what you'll get from them
- because of that volatility. 12

So that if it turned out that there 13 was more value to the positions than had been 14 15 estimated at the time, that there was an

opportunity for the purchaser -- excuse me, for 16

17 the seller to recapture some of that value.

- 18 Q. Now, ultimately, and we'll cover some 19 of the details of this in a while, but 20 ultimately that Adjustment to Cash Amount
- 21 provision is stricken from the agreement by an 22 amendment, correct?
- 23 A. Ultimately, there were a series of
- 24 amendments when people had somewhat more time

25 that dramatically changed a lot of this

TSG Reporting - Worldwide (877) 702-9580

Page 116

1

2

3

4

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

24

25

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - S. BERKENFELD agreement.

- Q. Now, but going back to the agreement as it looked on the 16th, if you take the real
- estate and put it to the side, okay? X amount is being paid for three buildings. Then you're 6
- 7 left with a \$70 million long position being
- 8 transferred to Barclays, correct, approximately? 9
 - A. Correct.
- Q. And a \$250 million cash payment being 10 made by Barclays to Lehman, correct? 11
- 12 A. Correct.
- 13 Q. And a \$69 billion short position being assumed by Barclays; that's the short position 14
- 15 against the long position, yes?
 - A. Correct.
- 17 Q. And a potential \$750 million upside
- sharing pursuant to paragraph 3.3, correct? 18
- 19 A. Correct.
- 20 Q. And if you look at it from that
- 21 perspective, if you take the real estate and put
- 22 it to the side, you get into balance, don't you?
- 23
- 24 Q. You said roughly \$70 billion?
- A. Well, you left out the other 25

TSG Reporting - Worldwide (877) 702-9580

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - S. BERKENFELD

liabilities that were being assumed by Barclays.

Q. I wanted to go to where the other

liabilities assumed by Barclays go. The 5 schedule is they are -- you tell me they're

guidance. How do the other liabilities, the

comp and the cure liabilities, fit into that, that undertaking?

Does that mean that Barclays is in the hole when this is done? Barclays should be -if short position and long position roughly match, and cash plus the upside makes it an exact match, that 70 to 70, doesn't that mean this is structured so Barclays has a net loss on the deal, not a gain?

MR. STERN: Objection to the form.

17 A. I wasn't really thinking of it as loss or gain. I was thinking of it that they were 18

19 assuming certain liabilities to keep the

20 business intact, and those liabilities were

21 liability to employees and liabilities in the 22 ordinary course of operations is the way of

23 explaining --

Q. So when you add --

MR. STERN: Let him finish.

2

3

4

5

6

7

22

1

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

Page 118

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - S. BERKENFELD

A. -- payables and things like that.

Q. So when you add those comp and cure assumed liabilities on top of the elements I just gave you, there's certainly no gain on acquisition for Barclays, if those numbers are real?

MR. STERN: Objection to the form.

9 A. Well, again, no one was actually --10 I'm repeating my answer a little bit. No one 11 was saying this schedule, Exhibit 19, is the deal and see how all these numbers add up. The

- 13 deal was the Asset Purchase Agreement. This was
- meant as some guidance for that as what we meant
- as 70 billion of assets. For instance, 70
- 16 billion of assets could have been 69 billion of
- 17 governments --18

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

1

12

14

15

16

17

18

19

21

25

O. Okav.

19 A. -- and 1 billion of other stuff, or it 20 could have been 69 billion of corporate equity

and 1 billion of governments, right? So we

- 22 needed to -- because we didn't specify any of
- 23 that in the text. It was just 70 billion of all
- 24 this stuff. And this gives you a little bit

25 better sense of what that stuff is.

TSG Reporting - Worldwide (877) 702-9580

Page 119

Q. I'm sorry.

I'm sorry, "this" being Exhibit 19.

Q. Right.

Because that 70 billion could have been in any kind of allocation among those

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - S. BERKENFELD

different kind of asset classes.

8 So the schedule had value in that 9 sense and was worth putting together and worth 10 memorializing as a final one because it helped provide guidance on that that when Lehman 11 12 transferred assets to Barclays -- again, this is 13 all superseded by what happened, but Barclays 14 didn't say, guess what? I just got 70 billion 15 of corporate equities which are going down 5 16 percent a day because of what's going on in the 17 market.

18 So it was meant the bucket of assets 19 that were going over were estimated at around 20 this level. So the schedule has a role to play, 21 but it's not the deal.

Q. Okay.

23 A. And the deal is, yeah, you know, assets, liabilities. No one was thinking of it 24

as embedded gain or loss, right? They're

TSG Reporting - Worldwide (877) 702-9580

Page 120

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - S. BERKENFELD

Page 121

2 MR. STERN: -- Asset Purchase 3 Agreement, Exhibit 1? In your last answer 4 you were pointing to the agreement? 5

THE WITNESS: I was pointing to the

Asset Purchase Agreement, yes.

Q. That actually is the point I wanted to follow up on.

A. I speak with my hands.

Q. So do I. That's why we're not videoed.

Now, to a person on the 17th of September looking at the agreement, without having access to the schedules, that's what I want to ask you about, the agreement -- go back to the numbers I went through before. The agreement shows a rough balance in the numbers shown of a \$70 billion long position being transferred to Barclays and a 69 billion -- and on the other side, a \$69 billion short position being undertaken, a \$250 million cash payment being made, and a potential \$750 million upside sharing with Lehman, which brings you to 70.

21 22 23 24 So someone looking at the agreement without access to the schedule would see this as TSG Reporting - Worldwide (877) 702-9580

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - S. BERKENFELD

2 positions that moved over because it made sense

3 for them to move over with the employees that

4 were moving over instead of all those positions 5

being left behind with a bankrupt estate with

6 nobody to manage them at the time. And what 7 they were doing was paying some amount for

8 value, but also assuming certain liabilities

9 which at the time, in the course of a, you know,

a day or so, day and a half, were difficult to 10

11 estimate what they would be.

There was a sense that there was an 13 idea of what compensation would be and there might have been some guesses at what it would mean to take on accounts payable, but remember, a lot of this depended on what contracts were going to be assumed and, again, that was all a post-signing, post-closing determination.

So the deal was assumption of this list of liabilities, and I don't think anyone thought at the time that we could be precise of what the value of those liabilities would be.

22 23 MR. STERN: And you're referring to

24 the --

MR. GAFFEY: Agreement.

2

3

4

5

6

7

20

21

25

1 2

3

4

6

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Page 122

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - S. BERKENFELD an agreement roughly in balance; is that right?

MR. STERN: Objection to the form.

A. I think that if someone could certainly shorthand it and sound-byte it. But

if someone was looking at what the agreement was, you would have to say, okay, purchased

8 assets; how much cash was going over.

O. Uh-huh.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

17

18

19

20

21

22

1

2

10 A. What were the value of the 50 percent

11 of the residential mortgages that were going,

which go beyond the 70 billion. On the other 12

hand, there's 69 of short positions, but what is

the actual compensation obligation that's being 14

15 undertaken and what do we think is the

16 obligation on the assumed contracts.

Q. And nobody looking at that agreement, at least from the point of view of the man who signed it, would read that to say there was a discount being given to Barclays for what it was buving?

MR. STERN: Objection to the form.

23 A. I didn't believe at the time when I

signed this agreement that the intent of the agreement was to deliver assets with a material

TSG Reporting - Worldwide (877) 702-9580

Page 124

here are -- and it goes both ways, right? Here

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - S. BERKENFELD

3 are a bunch of government securities that we can

know with precision are worth 40 billion and

4 5 they're going to be worth 40 billion tomorrow

6 when everybody was taking their money and

7 putting it into treasuries, and here's a bunch

of corporate equity that we can say with 8

9 certainty is worth 8.8 and it's going to be

worth anything with certainty at 8.8 tomorrow or

11 the next day or the day after that is not a

12 reasonable assessment of the situation at the

13 time.

14

You know, there was an estimate that was made of a book of assets and a book of

15 liabilities that would go over, but the deal

17 itself was not intended to, as to my knowledge,

18 deliberately create any sort of embedded

19

bankable gain on the part of Barclays. 20

Q. While we're digging out, let me ask 21 you: You made a reference to this before and

22 I'm sort of telling you where a substantial part

23 of the rest of your deposition is going to go,

24 but this deal changed a lot over the next week,

25 didn't it?

TSG Reporting - Worldwide (877) 702-9580

Page 123

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - S. BERKENFELD

embedded gain to them, to Barclays.

Q. And you didn't believe -- let me ask you: Did you believe that this agreement was designed to transfer to Barclays assets for less than their fair value?

MR. STERN: Objection to the form.

8 A. I could repeat the answer from the

9 last one, but to try to give you another answer, 10 I think that one of the considerations was that

fair market value at the time, right? Day or 11

12 two after Lehman bankruptcy was very difficult

thing to determine, and what things might have 13

14 been on our books and records going into the

15 weekend before a Lehman bankruptcy and the risk

16 of, not to sound too dramatic, of financial

17 Armageddon is different than what the value of

18 those assets might be. 19

I think you have to keep in mind that this transaction was done in not only the chaos of an unprecedented bankruptcy of Lehman

22 Brothers, but also a chaos in the markets that

23 is -- I would say it was somewhat unprecedented,

too, and which lasted for quite a while. 24

> And therefore, you know, to say that TSG Reporting - Worldwide (877) 702-9580

> > Page 125

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - S. BERKENFELD

A. Yes, it did.

O. Just give me the overall. How did it change over the next week between the deal that you signed and the deal that you closed?

A. To my understanding, in no particular

7 order of importance. Cash was not being

transferred over. The residential mortgages 9 were not being transferred over, I believe, and

we used to deal with other issues that Lehman 10

11 had to address on the trading side, on the

12 settlement side, and that the pool of assets and

13 liabilities that were being transferred over

changed dramatically so that more assets and 14

15 liabilities were being retained by the estate.

Q. Any others? Just, again, at the sort of global level, any big categories of changes?

A. As we go through it, other things may come to mind, but right now I'm not recalling anything.

(Exhibit 22, Barclays PLC Results Announcement, Figures 2008, marked for identification, as of this date.)

Q. Just if you'll go back briefly to a topic we were discussing a moment ago. I put TSG Reporting - Worldwide (877) 702-9580

6

7

Page 126

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - S. BERKENFELD

before you what we have marked as Exhibit 22, a

- 3 copy of Barclays PLC Results Announcement,
- 4 Figures 2008, and I'd ask you, sir, to turn to
- 5 page 95 of that document, which describes the
- acquisition of Lehman Brothers North American 6
- 7 businesses?

1.

2

9

- 8 A. Yes.
 - Q. Okay. And the second paragraph from
- 10 the bottom that says, "The excess of the fair
- value of net assets acquired over consideration
- paid resulted in 2,262,000 pound of gains on the
- 13 acquisition," do you see that?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. And if I understand your testimony
- 16 from this morning correctly, the deal that you
- agreed to on the 16th did not -- was not
- 18 intended to have that sort of embedded gain on
- 19 acquisition?
- 20 MR. STERN: Objection to the form.
- 21 Q. Is that right?
- 22 MR. STERN: Objection to the form.
- 23 A. I've never seen this document before.
- 24 Q. Okay.

1

25 A. I've never seen this section of the

TSG Reporting - Worldwide (877) 702-9580

Page 127

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - S. BERKENFELD

- document. I have no idea whatsoever what this
- 3 "excess of fair market value net assets" means
- 4 and how it was calculated, so let me preface my
- 5 answer with that.
 - Q. Sure.
 - A. So I can't speak to this at all.

8 But I would reiterate that it was not

- 9 the intention at the time of signing of the
- 10 Asset Purchase Agreement that the transaction
- 11 included as an element of it that there were --
- 12 there was certainty of any kind of embedded gain
- 13 in assets that were being transferred over --
- 14 and assets, in this case, I mean specifically
- 15 security positions as opposed to intangibles,
- 16 franchise value -- that there was any kind of 17 embedded gain in the tangible assets that were
- 18 being transferred over to Barclays.
- 19 Q. Okay. Thanks. I'm done with that 20 document.

21 Was it contemplated that during the 22 week of the 16th that there would be an

23 opportunity for Barclays to be involved in the

24 marking of Lehman positions, that is, before the 25

closing?

TSG Reporting - Worldwide (877) 702-9580

Page 128

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - S. BERKENFELD

- 2 A. Could you repeat the question?
- 3 Q. Was it contemplated during the week of
- 4 the 15th -- withdrawn. Was it contemplated that
- after the Asset Purchase Agreement was signed
- but before it closed, between signing and 6
- 7 closing, that there would be any role played by
- 8 Barclays in the marking or remarking of Lehman
- 9 positions on its books?
- 10 A. I don't know.
- 11 Q. Have you ever heard a suggestion that
- 12 that was contemplated or that it took place?
- 13 A. I don't know of any remarking of the
- 14 Lehman book by Barclays.
- 15 Q. Do you know of any remarking of the
- 16 Lehman book at all after -- between the signing
- but before the closing?
- 18 A. I believe we still had an obligation
- 19 to continue to mark our book as we had, you
- 20 know, in the ordinary course under very
- 21 extraordinary circumstances. I don't know what
- 22 we were able to do during that period of time.
- 23
- 24 A. And so I don't know what actually was
- 25 undertaken by our -- the people responsible, the

TSG Reporting - Worldwide (877) 702-9580

Page 129

1 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - S. BERKENFELD 2 Finance staff and Operations staff, of that. I

3 don't know.

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

14

(Exhibit 23, a document bearing Bates

Nos. 70283, marked for identification, as of

this date.)

Q. I have put before you, Mr. Berkenfeld, what we have marked as Exhibit 23, a one-page document bearing Bates numbers 70283, an e-mail 10 chain between Ian Lowitt and Gerard Reilly.

Let me know when you've had a chance to take a look through that document?

- 13 A. Uh-huh.
 - (Document review.)
- 15 A. Okay.
- 16 Q. Okay. Have you ever seen this e-mail 17 chain before?
- 18 A. I have not.
- 19 Q. Do you have any clue what Mr. Lowitt
- 20 would be talking about to Mr. Reilly when he
- 21 says at the bottom of it, "Are we set up to do
- 22 the marking of positions?" and then clarifies
- 23 saying, "What I meant was for BarCap to mark the
- 24 positions further"?
- 25 A. I have no knowledge of what Ian

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

25

1

10

11

12

13

16

17

25

Page 130

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - S. BERKENFELD intended.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

18

1

2

3

4

5

6

10

22 23

Q. Given your role in signing the agreement and your involvement in the transaction, would it have surprised you to discover a role for Barclays in determining what the Lehman mark should be?

MR. STERN: Objection to the form.

9 A. It would not have surprised me to know that, after the signing of the agreement, 10 Barclays would continue to do due diligence on 11 12 the assets and liabilities that it was assuming.

13 Q. You would -- well, okay, fair comment. 14 But are you reading the phrase "BarCap to mark the positions further" to be a reference, 15 16 possibly a reference to due diligence? 17

I know you're speculating.

MR. STERN: Objection to the form.

19 A. I am speculating. I don't know what 20 was intended by Ian, but I, if I had to interpret this, I would say that Barclays didn't 22 have a lot of time to do due diligence before the signing of the purchase agreement, they didn't have much time to do due diligence even 25 before the bankruptcy when we were involved in

TSG Reporting - Worldwide (877) 702-9580

Page 131

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - S. BERKENFELD original discussions, and that they would have 3 wanted to have their team of guys taking a 4 closer look at what was being transferred over in those positions before they got to the 6 closing date and things were at that point 7 irreversible.

Q. Now, if you could take look at the e-mail conversation that's taking place between Mr. Lowitt and Mr. Reilly at the top of the page, and here I am at from Reilly to Lowitt, September 17, 2008, 9:41 P.M. You see where I am on the page?

A. Yes.

Q. Okay. And this is what Mr. Reilly 16 wrote: "I went through all docs and did not see reference to the price haircut. If we want conservative marks to reflect block nature, we need to know how much and then can allocate to most logical assets."

Do you understand that to be -- and 22 then so, for completeness, then Mr. Lowitt responds: "Since not in contract, hard to see 23 what to" -- it says "DP," but I'm reading that 24 as "do," period, "Ian."

TSG Reporting - Worldwide (877) 702-9580

Page 132

Page 133

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - S. BERKENFELD

Do you understand this to be a communication between Mr. Reilly and Mr. Lowitt about a haircut or a block discount on the assets being transferred to Barclays?

MR. STERN: Objection to the form.

7 A. I again don't know what was in Ian's 8 or Jerry's mind and what they were talking 9 about.

Q. Sure.

11 A. I do focus on the "since not in 12 contract" part of this, but I don't know what

13 they meant. And again, it's speculation.

14 There's a lot of assets going over. They were

never marked on the Lehman books as though you

16 were going to transfer all of those assets in

bulk. They were marked position by position in

18 a very volatile time. 19

So they -- there was always a question in hindsight about the accuracy of those marks given what happened subsequently. But when you mark a position like 8.78 in corporate equity --

Q. Referring to Exhibit 19?

A. Referring to Exhibit 19, 8.8 in 24

25 corporate equity or 4.5 in derivatives, and you TSG Reporting - Worldwide (877) 702-9580 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - S. BERKENFELD

were interested in the fair market value, if you 3 were trying to find a buyer as a marked position

4 for someone who would buy the whole 8.8 billion

5 of corporate equities, which was stock-by-stock,

6 company-by-company, but you want someone to buy 7 the whole bulk of it, there would be some sort

Q. And you would expect that concept to

8 of discount for the illiquidity and bulk nature 9 of that.

be reflected in the agreement that was signed, would you not?

MR. STERN: Objection.

14 Q. If that was part of the deal? MR. STERN: Object to the form. 15

Q. As you said, you took note of the phrase "not in contract"?

18 A. Again, I go back to what I had said before, that the schedule and the values was not 19 20 the agreement. The agreement was, here's this pool of assets, here's this pool of liabilities 21

22 that are going to go over, this gives some 23 guidance as to it, but the deal was not

24 contemplating an embedded gain.

> Q. I'll phrase the question roughly the TSG Reporting - Worldwide (877) 702-9580

Page 134 Page 135 1 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - S. BERKENFELD 1 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - S. BERKENFELD 2 same way, but with a different premise. If you 2 AFTERNOON SESSION 3 could just forget the schedules for a minute. 3 (Time Noted: 1:03 P.M.) 4 From the perspective of what was filed 4 STEVEN BERKENFELD, resumed and 5 5 with the bankruptcy court, which was the testified further as follows: 6 agreement, there's no reflection of the type of 6 EXAMINATION BY (Cont'd.) 7 7 block discount that you're talking about now? MR. GAFFEY: 8 MR. STERN: Objection to the form. 8 Q. Before the break, Mr. Berkenfeld, we 9 Q. Correct? 9 were -- I sort of introduced a topic of changes 10 A. I'm not aware of anything in the 10 in the deal that occurred between signing and 11 contract or anything that was presented to the 11 closing, and I was trying to get a sort of bankruptcy court that should be characterized 12 overall sense of what factors caused those 13 accurately as the block discount. 13 changes. 14 Q. Okay. 14 (Exhibit 24, First Amendment to the 15 MR. GAFFEY: Let's go off the record 15 Asset Purchase Agreement, marked for 16 for a second. 16 identification, as of this date.) 17 (Luncheon Recess; Time Noted: 12:22 17 (Exhibit 25, a letter dated September 18 18 P.M.) 20, 2008, marked for identification, as of 19 19 this date.) 20 20 Q. I've put two documents before you, Mr. 21 Berkenfeld. When you've had a chance to look 21 22 through them both, let me know and then I'll 23 23 describe them for the record and ask you some 24 24 questions about them. 25 25 A. Okay. TSG Reporting - Worldwide (877) 702-9580 TSG Reporting - Worldwide (877) 702-9580 Page 136 Page 137 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - S. BERKENFELD HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - S. BERKENFELD 1 1 2 Q. We've marked as Exhibit 24, sir, a 2 purchase agreement in the First Amendment. document entitled First Amendment to Asset 3 Q. For clarity on the record, can I ask 4 Purchase Agreement, and it's a three-page --4 you, the second document, the one marked as 5 it's a four-page document. Exhibit 25, that was referred to, as it was Do you recognize the document? 6 6 being developed and until it was signed, as the 7 7 clarification letter; is that right? 8 8 Q. And on the third page, is that your A. I don't recall. signature under the heading "Lehman Brothers 9 Q. Okay. Can we agree that, at least for Holdings" and under "Lehman Brothers, Inc."? purposes of this record, if I refer to the 11 Yes. 11 document as the clarification letter, I will be 12 12 O. And the second exhibit we have marked referring to Exhibit 25? is in letter form, typed across, center, 13 A. That's fine. "Barclays Capital Inc.," dated as of September 14 Q. Okay. So it's a tumultuous week and 15 15 20, 2008, and on six pages from the back, things are changing and people are running there's a signature page for Lehman Brothers 16 around the 32nd floor and elsewhere and the deal Holdings; is that your signature there? 17 is getting amended and then amended further and 17 18 A. Yes. 18 the clarification letter. 19 19 Q. Okay. Could you tell me what the two Are there any particular events during 20 20 documents are by reference to their exhibit that week, and again, I'm sort of at a top level 21 numbers? 21 on this, but any events during that week that 22 22 required the deal to be changed? A. Exhibit 24 is an amendment to an Asset 23 Purchase Agreement, the Asset Purchase Agreement 23 A. I do recall that we had issues with 24 that had been signed a few days earlier, and 24 DTC and our ability to settle that required and 25 Exhibit 25 is another agreement that amends the 25 were the reason for the First Amendment. I

TSG Reporting - Worldwide (877) 702-9580

6

7

9

11

16

2

4

8

Page 138

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - S. BERKENFELD

2 remember very little of the specific details and

back and forth, but there certainly was DTC

4 coming in as an interested party that forced the

5 renegotiation of the transaction.

> O. Is it fair to say that, in essence, the DTC issue was that DTC had some resistance or some reluctance to effect account transfers over to Barclays without DTC getting some kind of protection?

11 A. I would say that DTC was concerned 12 about its responsibility if the securities

didn't transfer or a settlement didn't occur. I

14 don't remember much of what was their objective.

Q. And another event, as I understand it, 16 that occurred during that week is that the 17 Federal Reserve which had been supplying Lehman

with financing through a Repurchase Agreement

made it known that it wanted Barclays to step

into the shoes of the Fed repo, is that -- does

that ring a bell? Do you have any recollection

22 of that?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

19

20

stop there.

1

6

7

8

9

10

15

23 A. Vague recollection. I really wasn't a

24 part of those discussions. 25

Q. Do you have a recollection of, just as TSG Reporting - Worldwide (877) 702-9580

Page 139

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - S. BERKENFELD

2 an event as opposed to its implications, Lehman

3 and Barclays entering into a certain Repurchase

4 Agreement on or around September 18 of that

5 week?

A. Don't recall that.

Q. Do you have any recollection of a

8 Repurchase Agreement between Barclays and Lehman

playing any role or having any implications with

respect to the structure of the transaction?

A. I don't recall the specifics around

12 the repo agreement or the financing with

13 Barclays. I wasn't involved in the discussions

14 around it or the crafting of it.

15 Q. Okay.

A. And didn't know going into the end of

17 the week the implications it would have for the

18 purchase agreement.

19 Q. Okay. We'll come back to that in a

20 little more detail, but if you would take a look

21 at the clarification letter, that is, Exhibit

25, and in particular, if you would look at 22

23 paragraph 1 entitled "Purchased Assets; Excluded

24 Assets," all right?

25 And generally, sir, you'll see that

TSG Reporting - Worldwide (877) 702-9580

Page 140

Page 141

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - S. BERKENFELD

that paragraph addresses the purchased assets and changes, the purchased assets provisions of the September 16th Asset Purchase Agreement.

Do you have any recollection of that being, again, globally, that being an issue that had to be addressed?

8 A. I have recollection of an issue that 9 had to be addressed, yes.

10 Q. And in paragraph 1(a)(ii), you'll see 11 it says as follows: "With respect to clauses (a), (d) and (e) of the definition of 'Purchased 13 Assets' in the Original Agreement, instead of 14 the items referred to in such clauses, (A) the securities owned by LBI and transferred to purchaser or to its affiliate under the Barclays 17 Repurchase Agreement (as defined below) as specified on Schedule A previously delivered by

21 Does the reference to the Barclays 22 Repurchase Agreement in that new definition of 23 "purchased assets" refresh your recollection as 24 to whether the Barclays repo played any role or had any implications with respect to the Asset

Seller and accepted by Purchaser" -- let me just

TSG Reporting - Worldwide (877) 702-9580

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - S. BERKENFELD **Purchase Agreement?**

3 A. I don't recall the financing

arrangement in the repo. 5 Q. Uh-huh.

6 A. I certainly have seen the

7 clarification agreement before --

O. Sure.

9 A. -- and knew that that was the

10 amendment substituting for the purchased asset

11 provisions of the Asset Purchase Agreement. So

12 I'm not sure what you're asking me.

13 Q. I guess -- let me put it another way.

14 Do you know why that amendment was needed?

15 A. No.

16 O. Okay. Let me back up a little bit.

17 Describe for me what your role was at the time

18 in connection with the negotiation and drafting

19 and conclusion of the clarification letter.

20 A. Very minimal from the time of the

21 signing of the Asset Purchase Agreement. I was

more involved in a bunch of other things. There

23 were a whole bunch of other assets that weren't

transferring. There were employee issues,

counterparty issues.

2

3

4

7

8

9

24

1

2

3

5

6

7

Page 142

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - S. BERKENFELD

So my area of expertise was not repo financing and I was not involved in how the

financing of the positions would occur and the 4

- 5 transfer of it from the Fed to Barclays and what
- 6 would be in that pool.
- 7 Q. Right.

1

2

3

- 8 A. So I had very little involvement with
- this agreement I would say until the weekend,
- the following weekend when the deal was being 10
- put in a position for closing after the hearing 12
- on the 19th.
- 13 Q. Okay. I'll show you during the day,
- and I won't take time with it now, but I'm going
- 15 to show you during the day, during today, some
- 16 drafts of the clarification letter that crossed
- vour screen, that did come across on e-mail.
- That's why I asked that question. I want to get
- a sense of whether you're involved in it or
- 20 scanning it, if you see the differentiation I'm
- 21 making.
- 22 A. It may even be a different description
- 23 than that.

1

13

18

- 24 Q. What would it --
- 25 A. It may even less than a scan.

TSG Reporting - Worldwide (877) 702-9580

Page 144

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - S. BERKENFELD

- 2 O. From?
- 3 A. From more involvement from Lehman 4 employees.
- 5 Q. Okay. Now, do you have a, as the 6 signatory to both agreements -- and by that I
- 7 mean the Asset Purchase Agreement dated
- 8 September 16 on the one hand and the
- 9 clarification letter dated as of September 20 --
- do you have a sense of whether there were big 10
- 11 changes, material changes, significant changes
- 12 from one to the other?
 - MR. STERN: Objection to the form.
- 14 A. I have a sense of some of the changes
- that were made. I think you could characterize
- them in a few different ways, but they were not 16
- 17 immaterial.
 - Q. Not to quibble, but does "not
- immaterial" mean material? I just want to get 19
- 20 some terms we can use in the questions.
- 21 MR. STERN: Objection to the form.
- A. I think it depends on the change. 22
- 23 O. What do you remember as being the not
- immaterial changes that were made from the Asset 24
- Purchase Agreement dated September 16th via the 25

TSG Reporting - Worldwide (877) 702-9580

Page 143

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - S. BERKENFELD

- Q. All right. Who did negotiate the
- business terms of the clarification letter?
- A. Bart was still very much involved. To
- 5 the best of my recollection, I believe that Mark
- Shapiro and Jim Seery were very much involved.
- I don't remember who else, though.
 - Q. Do you remember there may have been others, but you remember --
- 10 A. I should, by the way, very much so add 11 Weil Gotshal.
- 12 Q. That's in the negotiation of the
- 13 business terms or the drafting of the business
- terms that are agreed? That's the distinction
- 15 we talked about this morning with respect to the 16 APA.
- 17 A. I would say on the negotiation of the
- 18 business terms.
- 19 O. Who at Weil Gotshal?
- 20 A. Tom Roberts in particular. Harvey
- 21 Miller. There was a shifting as Lehman went
- into bankruptcy over who took responsibility for 22
- 23 negotiation of business terms.
 - Q. Well, a shifting from whom to whom?
- 25 A. A shifting to Weil Gotshal attorneys.

TSG Reporting - Worldwide (877) 702-9580

Page 145

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - S. BERKENFELD

- clarification letter dated as of September 20?
- A. Primarily the transfer of assets and
- assumptions of liabilities. 4
 - Q. How did that change?
 - A. It changed in size and amount.
 - Q. And give me your best recollection of
- 8 the quantification of the difference in size and
- 9 amount.
- 10 A. My best recollection is from the 72
- billion or so, 70 billion of assets -- excuse 11
- me, 70 billion to more in the neighborhood of 42 12
- 13 billion or so.
- Q. And what caused the change, the change 14 in value from roughly 70 billion to something 15
- around 42 billion? 16
- 17 A. I don't know.
- 18 Q. No clue?
- 19 "No clue" would be a good enough
- 20 answer. I wouldn't have used it myself, but no
- 21 clue.
- 22 Q. That's why they invented leading questions.
- 23

Was it because of a drop in value of the body of collateral or a diminution in the

Page 147 Page 146 1 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - S. BERKENFELD HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - S. BERKENFELD 2 diminution in value when it was told to the collection of collateral -- of assets that was 3 being done? 3 court on Friday? 4 A. I don't know. A. I don't recall knowing about it before 4 5 5 Q. You don't know, okay. then. 6 How did you learn about the change in 6 O. Okay. Were you in court on the 7 7 Friday? value? 8 A. Yes. 8 A. I was -- I don't recall how I first 9 9 learned. I know it was part of the hearing in Q. All day and night? 10 A. From the start till the concluding front of, you know, in front of bankruptcy 10 11 court. 11 statement by Judge Peck, yes. 12 Q. Okay. So you were there for the 12 O. We both know apparently that that 13 change was told to the judge on Friday at the 13 applause at the end at 20 after midnight? A. It's probably beyond what I have to sale hearing. Is that what you're referring to? 14 15 say, but yes, I was perhaps someone that might 15 A. Yes. I don't have any recollection --16 Q. Uh-huh. 16 have been applauding. 17 17 Q. When you learned about the drop in the -- of knowing about that before the A. number from 70 to about 42, did you follow up? 18 hearing. 18 19 Did you ask anyone what accounted for that 19 Q. Okay. That's anticipating my next 20 question. I wanted to get -- we have Tuesday, 20 change? Wednesday, Thursday, right? The 16th, the 17th 21 A. I don't recall any conversations on 22 it. and 18th. 23 23 As your best recollection, if I Q. So my question was did you ask anyone. 24 Your answer was you don't recall any understand your answer correctly, your best conversations. Let me just close that circle. 25 recollection is that you learned of the TSG Reporting - Worldwide (877) 702-9580 TSG Reporting - Worldwide (877) 702-9580 Page 149 Page 148 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - S. BERKENFELD HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - S. BERKENFELD 1 whether it be the Sunday or the Monday, did you 2 You neither asked nor do you recall have an understanding, by whatever means, the 3 overhearing somebody talk about it? reason for the difference in the value -- the MR. STERN: Objection to the form. 4 4 5 difference from 70 to about 42? 5 A. I don't recall asking or being given 6 6 any information or overhearing a discussion 7 7 Q. And by the time that you signed it -about it. at the time that you signed it, did you have an 8 Q. By the time that you signed -- when understanding as to why the definition of 9 did you actually sign the clarification letter? 10 "purchased assets" was changed to, among other 10 It's dated as of the 20th. A. What day was the 20th? 11 things, make to include the "securities owned by 11 12 MR. STERN: Objection to the form. 12 LBI and transferred to purchaser or its affiliates under the Barclays Repurchase 13 O. The 20th is a Saturday. 13 14 A. Best of my recollection, would have 14 Agreement"? 15 15 been at some point on Sunday. A. No. 16 Q. Did it come to your attention at any 16 Q. Signed on the Sunday? point in the week between signing on the Tuesday 17 17 I want to be fairly precise with this. 18 and closing on the Monday that Barclays had 18 Do you recall if you signed it on a Sunday or if 19 issued a Notice of Termination regarding the 19 you signed it at the closing on the Monday? 20 Repurchase Agreement? 20 A. I don't recall. 21 21 A. No, I don't think so. O. Do you recall if the clarification 22 letter was complete, was put in final by the 22 Q. Did the fact that Barclays had

23

24

23 Sunday?

A. I don't recall.

Q. By the time that you signed it,

TSG Reporting - Worldwide (877) 702-9580

24

25

terminated the Repurchase Agreement on Friday

the 19th hit your screen, come to your attention

in the course of the clarification letter being TSG Reporting - Worldwide (877) 702-9580

5

6

7

17

2

3

4

5

6

7

Page 150

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - S. BERKENFELD

2 finalized and your signing it?

3 A. No, I don't think so. I don't recall

it.

1

4

5

6

7

8

Q. Do you recall that being a topic of discussion at all?

- A. I recall the financing being a topic of conversation over that weekend.
- 9 Q. Okay. My question is a little more 10 specific and I want to make sure we're 11 understanding each other.

12 Do you recall the topic of the 13 termination of the repo by Barclays coming up in connection with the clarification letter?

15 A. I don't recall.

16 Q. At any time, be it that week or any 17 other time, have you formed an understanding as to the implications of a terminated repo with

regard to a company that has already filed 20 bankruptcy?

21

MR. STERN: Objection to the form.

22 A. No.

23 Q. That's a -- we should actually take

24 that question out and shoot it. Let me try

another one.

TSG Reporting - Worldwide (877) 702-9580

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - S. BERKENFELD

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - S. BERKENFELD

Page 151

Was the termination of the repo an issue that needed to be addressed in the structure or the form or the content of the clarification letter?

A. I don't know.

Q. You don't know, okay.

8 By the time that you signed the 9 agreement on, whether it be the Sunday night or 10 the Monday, you had an understanding of the 11 terms of the clarification agreement that you 12 signed, correct?

13 A. Yes.

Q. And did you have an understanding of 14 15 the reason for each of the terms of the

16 clarification letter?

A. No.

18 Q. Did you ask?

19 A. I didn't ask the reason for the terms.

20 I had conversations with Bart McDade and with

Weil Gotshal --21

22 Q. Okay.

23 A. -- that it reflected the business deal

24 as amended.

25 Q. Okay. That --

TSG Reporting - Worldwide (877) 702-9580

Page 152

A. The clarification agreement broadly and didn't -- I don't recall any conversation

4 around the repo or financing provisions in

5 particular.

1

2

6

7

8

9

10

13

17

25

Q. As to its terms in whole, in general -- let me give you a spectrum. We'll sort of set the stages for the questions that follow.

Somewhere between "sign this, we need 11 this," with no explanation, and a session where 12 you go through each and every provision and somebody tells you "this is what it means and this is why we did it," give it to me on that scale of how much explanation you got of the 16 terms of the clarification letter before you signed it.

18 A. I think it depends on the provision, 19 but it was more along the side of the spectrum 20 of this is the new business deal that's been 21 agreed to.

22 Q. Was there a new deal that was agreed 23 to by the time the clarification letter was 24 signed?

> What do you mean by "new deal"? TSG Reporting - Worldwide (877) 702-9580

Page 153

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - S. BERKENFELD

Q. Well, would it be fair to describe the deal reflected in the clarification letter that you signed as a new deal, as a different deal than the one that you signed on the 16th?

MR. STERN: Objection to the form. Are you asking new or different?

8 MR. GAFFEY: I'll take different. A. I would characterize it as an amended

9 10 deal.

11 Q. Significant amendment? Minor 12 amendment?

13 A. Significant in some respects. Minor 14 in others.

15 Q. I promise I'm going to show you the 16 letter in a minute just as soon as I find my marked up one, but any independent recollection 17 18 of any significant -- any topics that were the

19 subject of significant amendments? 20 A. In the topic of the assets and the 21 liabilities and the financing, which I had nothing to do with, "nothing" was a bit of an 23 overstatement, but hardly anything to do with, was a significant category. There were a lot of 24 other things in the agreement that I believe